

APPENDIX K
WATERSHED PLANNING PROCESS DOCUMENTATION



METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #5

For December 18, 2002

Action Summary

OLD BUSINESS:

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|-------------|-------------|---|---|--|-----------------|
| 2.1 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions | PU Chair | | |
| 1.7 | 6:15 | Hot topic identification Storage Committee Report | Greg Knott/John Umberger | USGS to get back to Greg Update next meeting | 12/18/02 |
| 3.1 | 6:30 | P-III Grant Status Draft/Ecology agreement with County | County to submit revised scope of work based on PU's changes for PU approval PU to review and approve | PU approved with conditions as noted in motion 3.1 | 12/18/02 |
| 3.2 | 6:40 | Review of Golders Draft Scope of Work | PU to respond Decision & Recommendations | PU accepted with three motions as noted under 3.2 | 12/04/02 |
| 3.4 | 7:00 | Develop Job Descriptions for PU Coordinator and Project Coordinator | Decision by PU Golder to Submit proposal based on negotiations with Dick and Julie | Delegated to persons involved, no PU comment | 12/18/02 |
| 3.6 | 7:30 | Bob Barwin to speak PU Letter to Barwin | PU to review letter and accept it | Barwin to Speak on 1/29/03 Letter revised as noted in motion under 3.6 | 12/18/02 |

NEW BUSINESS:

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|-------------|-------------|--|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 5.0 | 7:45 | Submission of proposals and changes | PU to discuss | | 12/18/02 |
| 5.1 | 8:15 | Hot Topics | | | |
| | 8:30 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |

Meeting opened by Dick Ewing at 6:15

Minutes of Dec 11 meeting read and approved

1.7 Greg Knott - Brief discussion of storage, PU looking for seismic and drawdown tests done at Mazama for GWAC.

Rules suspended for discussion of amendment IV (Ecology uses the term "amendment" to keep track of new or modified written contracts). PU assured by Ewing that PU scope of work (A - Q) will coincide with PU language.

3.1 Motion - John moved to accept contract as stated by Ecology including amendment 4 with the costs added by the county to be consistent with the Golder work order and the counties administrative costs and the corrected typos and changes in the text. Seconded by Gary Erickson passed unanimously.

Rules suspended for discussion of Golder contract. Golder is committed to perform our scope of work.

3.2 Under rules - Motion 1 - change page 1 bottom paragraph to include ... cost estimate consistent with MBPU Dec02 scope of work that is primarily ... seconded Erickson passed unanimously

Motion 2 - Change in paragraph P. from integrate to consider - seconded - discussion passed

Suspended rules to discuss Golder proposed scope of work. Knott asked budget question; Can work sessions be flexible? Ewing asked; Can money be reallocated as needed? Can't see the future.

Rick Karro didn't get e-mail his address is: pubwks@methow.com

Motion 3 - Knott moved to accept contract with two financial provisions - first a \$5,000 contingency will come out of the first part of the contract (amended), secondly the maximum amount on the entire contract through Oct 31, 2002 will be \$220,000.00 Bob seconded - Discussion - Passed as amended:

1. Amendment - Gage - that the \$5000 contingency will be taken from the total grant and administered by the county. seconded by Erickson - discussion. Passed

2. Amendment - Bob - the project coordinator task will include secretarial duties, including meeting minutes, and integrating planning unit decisions into the plan. seconded by Greg - discussion - passed.

3.6 Rules suspended discussion of Barwin letter - detailed or specific

Motion - Erickson - Barwin to speak at 5pm Jan. 29, 2003 at PU meeting room and that his time be limited to approximately one hour followed by questions. Seconded - discussion - passed

Rules suspended to talk about submission of proposals to the PU. Communication is the problem. Julie Dagnon said county is so familiar with process they have not seen problem PU sees. She assures county is totally open. PU only needs to ask questions.

Adjourn

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #7

January 8, 2003

Action Summary

OLD BUSINESS:

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|---|---|----------|
| 2.1 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions | PU Chair | | |
| 1.7 | 6:15 | Hot topic identification Storage Committee Report | Greg Knott/John Umberger | USGS to get back to Greg Update next meeting | 1/15/03 |
| 3.2 | 6:45 | Review of Golders Draft Scope of Work | PU to review new draft based upon amendments from Dec. 18 Confirm Draft is properly corrected | PU accepted with conditions Noted under minutes 3.2 But needs to evaluate my e- mail | 1/15/03 |
| 6.0 | 7:00 | Suspend rules and discuss how to proceed without Golder until contracts are signed | PU to discuss | Proceed | 1/08/03 |
| 6.1 | 7:15 | Develop Plan Outline | PU to discuss and adopt Assignment read Yakima Table of Contents | Pick up at next meeting | 1/15/03 |
| 6.2 | 8:00 | Develop Work Session approach for 1 st Interim session that addresses: 2 cfs rule, actual water use versus claims, basin closures, and agricultural water rights | Continue 2cfs rule discussion Identify how to resolve issue If time permits open discussion on Actual use vs. claims | Identified issues as noted on attached work sheet | 1/15/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS:

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| 6.3 | 9:15 | Hot Topics | | | 1/15/03 |
| | 9:30 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |

Methow Basin Planning Unit

Minutes of Jan. 8, 2003

In attendance: Jerry Sullivan, Rick Karro, Bill Freeman, John Storman, Greg Knott, Connie Iten, Jim Weed, Gary Erickson, Mike Gage, John Umberger, Marty Williams, Mark Love, Ron Perrow, Dick Ewing, Ray Campbell

Meeting opened by Dick Ewing at 6:15

Minutes of the Dec. 18 meeting were corrected and approved

Old business:

Hot topics identified - MVID representative and conservation district work shop.

1.7 Committee report: Knott – Bureau of Reclamation will do planning for four storage sites. Jan 14 proposed meeting at 6 pm in planning unit meeting room.

3.2

Motion by Perrow - to approve scope of work for Golder, (Golder ref: 023-1244) (work order 2002-004) with provisions:

1. p. 5 third sentence to read: "The fact sheets will be available on the web site and at local libraries."
2. p. 5 paragraph two to read: "On at least a monthly basis ..."
3. p. 6 paragraph 11 to read: "The first draft of the watershed plan will be presented to the MBPU on June 25, 2003."
4. p. 6 paragraph 13, change "draft" to "plan" (make these changes throughout paragraph.)

5. p. 8 forth bullet, delete "draft," change "draft" to "plan", (make consistent throughout paragraph.)
6. p. 12 paragraph P, change "integrate" to "consider."

Motion passed unanimously.

New Business:

Rules suspended to discuss issues identified by PU – 2cfs etc., domestic consumption, inside versus outside uses, affect on river flows etc.

Hot topics:

Greg Knott distributed Methow water conservation district report.

Mark Love reported that the MVID board of directors Greg Nordang and Vaughn Jolly had attempted to remove Mike Gage as a representative for the MVID on the Methow Basin Planning Unit based on complaints from "two or three" Methow Basin Planning Unit members. Both Jolly and Nordang said they would not identify those who had complained and no planning unit member present acknowledged that they had complained to Nordang or Jolly. After discussion establishing the positive value of Gage's planning unit participation, Perrow moved for a vote of confidence on Mike Gage as a member of the planning unit. Motion passed unanimously with abstentions from government representatives Karro, Storman and Weed.

Moved to adjourn

SUMMARY OF 2CFS RULE DISCUSSION:

There is a basic problem with the 2 cfs reservation: compared to the total water budget, domestic consumption of water is inconsequential. However, the 1976 Methow Rule <http://www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/wac173548.html> set minimum instream flows. Consequently all future appropriation is subject to instream flows. According to DOE's interpretation of the law removing the 2 cfs reservation would then make all domestic exempt wells subject to instream flows. Also if water quality is changed then it is consumed.

Possible ways to address the 2cfs reservation and water quality change:

- One way to address the problem is to redefine consumptive use. Right now the consumptive use must be defined by the demand of the exempt right which is 5,000 gallons per day (gpd).
- Separate in house use from outside use. A determination may be made on what is the possible use for a single domestic well due to our seasons and a short 155 day irrigation season.
- Evaluate ditch leakage from irrigation canals as a possible mitigation for domestic use.
- Examine water reuse from domestic wells

To evaluate how to proceed for this scoping session the following materials were identified as needed:

- Read Chapters 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 of the Phase II report
- Peterson/Jackson; *Methow River Basin 2 cfs water Inventory*, DOE, 1990
- Peterson/Jackson; *Technical Review of Methow River Basin Single Domestic Instantaneous Water Use Estimate*, DOE, 1990.
- *Recent Water Use In the Methow River Valley: An Estimate*, DOE, 1991
- WAC 173-548 1976 Methow Basin Rule
- Highland Ass.; *Population and Growth Data for the Methow River Basin*, 1993
- Isay Report
- Golder's paper on predicting future use, Note also Chapter 9 Phase II
- County zoning and planning goals
- Identify water available in each basin
- Parcel map of Methow Valley

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #7

January 15, 2003

Action Summary

OLD BUSINESS:

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|--|--|----------|
| 7.1 | 6:00 | <u>Meeting Opening:</u> Introductions | PU Chair | | 1/15/01 |
| 1.7 | 6:15 | Hot topic identification Storage Committee Report | Instream flows Greg Knott/John Umberger | PU accepted storage site priorities and 3 classes for sites | 1/15/03 |
| 3.2 | 6:45 | Review of Golders Draft Scope of Work | PU to review new draft based upon amendments from Dec. 18 Confirm Draft is properly corrected | PU decided to not make this an issue and would try to incorporate agency review in PU's 1 st draft review | 1/15/03 |
| 6.1 | 7:15 | Develop Plan Outline | PU to discuss and adopt Assignment read Yakima Table of Contents | Dick Ewing to propose outline based on initial feedback | 1/15/03 |
| 6.2 | 8:00 | Develop Work Session approach for 1 st Interim session that addresses: 2 cfs rule, actual water use versus claims, basin closures, and agricultural water rights | Continue 2cfs rule discussion Identify how to resolve issue If time permits open discussion on Actual use vs. claims | Additional information requests added to 2 cfs discussion summary | 1/15/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS:

M.L.J MEETING AGENDA P-III #7

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 6.3 | 9:15 | Hot Topics- Instream flow | | | 1/15/03 |
| | 9:30 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |

MINUTES FROM DECEMBER 15TH:

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #8

January 29, 2003

Action Summary

OLD BUSINESS:

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|-------------|-------------|---|---|---|-----------------|
| 8.1 | 6:00 | <u>Meeting Opening:</u> Introductions | PU Chair | | |
| 1.7 | 6:15 | Hot topic identification-Greg Storage Committee Report | Greg Knott/John Umberger | Grant Application in on time | 1/22/03 |
| 3.6 | 6:30 | Bob Barwin Presentation | PU to Choose 3/19 or 3/26 | Barwin to Speak on 3/19 | 1/22/03 |
| 6.1 | 7:15 | Develop Plan Outline | PU to discuss items in Dicks outline PU has until Jan 29 to give input for changes | Outline Referred to Golder Associates for input | 1/22/03 |
| 6.2 | 8:00 | Work Session on 2 cfs | Continue 2cfs rule discussion PU to develop approach for resolving information issues. Need Decision on following points: 1) How to determine # of wells since 1976 2) How to determine consumptive use for Basin 3) Future use 4) How are we going to determine impact of all exempt wells since 1976 | Information request to accurately determine domestic use referred to Golder. Golder to respond to questions in 2 cfs discussion summary | 1/22/03 |

NEW BUSINESS:

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-------------------------------|--|---|-------------|
| 8.2 | 8:30 | Work Session on excess claims | PU Identify issues and approaches to the problem | PU referred to Golder question on how to resolve without adjudication | 1/22/03 |
| 8.3 | | DOE Water Acquisition Program | PU to read to understand DOE's View on utilizing water markets | PU Reading Assignment | Due 2/12/03 |
| 8.3 | 9:15 | Hot Topics | | | |
| | 9:30 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |

Below is the site location for the DOE Water acquisition Program. I suggest you download and read all.

<http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wr/instream-flows/wacqstra.html>

MEETING MINUTES JANUARY 22, 2003

Present: Vern Donnet, Greg Knott, Mark Love, Ron Perrow

Ewing e-mailed at about 3:30 that meeting was cancelled. The above did not get the word.

Old business:

Hot topics:

Work plan for storage assessment in the Methow River Basin.

Knott moved to accept report and pass to Co. to be forwarded to DOE for acceptance. With provision to study Patterson as another location for surface water and possible ground water recharge. Seconded by Vern, passed unanimously.

Rules suspended: discuss domestic consumption. No action taken, however it was agreed that we need to define domestic consumption as exactly as possible,

New business:

Under rules: Greg moved to support an act relating to watershed planning as phase IV (amending 90.82). seconded by Mark, passed unanimously.

Rules suspended: Greg reported that four farmers presented at the Entiat planning unit meeting a proposal for spending \$46 million by Douglas Co. PUD to acquire riparian lands in the Entiat, Methow and Okanogan drainage basins. Not a popular idea with private property owners.

Meeting adjourned

MINUTES FOR JANUARY 29, 2003

Meeting opened at 6:20 by Dick Ewing

Minutes of Jan 22 and Jan 15 were read and approved

Present were Mark Love, Marty Williams, Mike Gage, John Umberger, Ray Campbell, Vern Donnet, Connie Ikin, Greg Knott, Gary Erickson, John Storman, Rick Karro, Ron Perrow, Jerry Sullivan & Dick Ewing

Old Business:

Knott reported on storage committee progress and inclusion of Patterson Lake in possible computer modeling (Riverware). Grant is in progress and moving as would be expected.

Barwin can't make it in Feb. Gary Erickson moved to invite Barwin on March 19, seconded by Gage passed unanimously.

Storman reported on phase III grant, not sent but reviewed, hope to have contract to County by the end of this week. New contract will be e-mailed to committee after Storman gets final copy.

Erickson moved to accept the draft plan outline by Dick Ewing seconded by Perrow, discussion, question called by Knott passed unanimously

Rules Suspended:

Discussion of domestic consumption, 2cfs reservation & what questions to ask Golder in order for the PU to write that portion of plan.

Under Rules:

Motion by Perrow to forward to Golder the task list made under suspended rules for domestic consumption, domestic use, parcel numbers, well numbers population baseline (1976) and estimate of future domestic uses, and other uses. Seconded by Ray Campbell passed unanimously.

Rules suspended:

Discussion of excess claims, Greg moved as a PU we consider excess water claims and in addition we recommend some solutions for adoption. Seconded by Ray, passed unanimously.

Under rules:

Motion by Storman move down on our list of discussion the issue of excess claims to allow for completion of required items. Seconded by Greg, passed unanimously.

Meeting adjourned

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #9

February 5, 2003

Action Summary

OLD BUSINESS:

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|---|-----------------------------------|----------|
| 9.1 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions | PU Chair | | |
| 8.3 | | Hot topic identification-Greg DOE Water Acquisition Program | PU to read to understand DOE's View on utilizing water markets | PU to complete personal review | 3/03/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS:

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|--|--|----------|
| 9.2 | | Initiate Discussion on basin closures | PU to identify issues and approach to problem | PU Chair to summarize input PU wanted this addressed as a later priority | 2/12/03 |
| 9.3 | | Initiate Discussion on agricultural water rights | PU to identify issues and approach to problem | PU Chair to summarize input | 2/012/03 |
| 9.4 | | Ron's Resolution | Read Below and prepare | Adopted as corrected | |
| | 9:15 | Hot Topics | | | |
| | 9:30 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |

Below is the site location for the DOE Water acquisition Program. I suggest you download and read all.

<http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wr/instream-flows/wacqstra.html>

Resolution Submitted by Ron Perrow and adopted as noted below:

Because there have been numerous changes to writings passed forward by the Methow Basin Planning Unit which were substantial and had meaning changing alterations unknown to the Methow Basin Planning Unit, and which caused unnecessary delays in the stated goals of the Methow Basin Planning Unit, it is resolved by the Methow Basin Planning Unit that:

All modifications, no matter how insignificant, on a writing passed forward by the Methow Basin Planning Unit and under the purview of the Methow Basin Planning Unit shall be made by any reviewer by identifying the suggested change on a separate paper and then returning the original writing and the separate paper back to the Methow Basin Planning Unit. At this time the Methow Basin Planning Unit shall incorporate or shall not incorporate the suggested changes at its discretion. In addition, all completed and edited writings under the purview of the Methow Basin Planning Unit shall be forwarded by the chairman of the Methow Basin Planning Unit to the appropriate agency or individual. Also, the Methow Basin Planning Unit shall keep as a history all conformed and or forwarded writings in an electronic format.

FEBRUARY 5 MINUTES:

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #11

February 19, 2003

Action Summary

OLD BUSINESS:

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|---|---|----------|
| 10.1 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions | PU Chair | | |
| 8.3 | | Hot topic identification DOE Water Acquisition Program | PU to read to understand DOE's View on utilizing water markets | PU to complete personal review | 3/03/03 |
| 9.2 | | Initiate Discussion on basin closures | PU to identify issues and approach to problem | PU Chair to pass onto Golder In new write up formate | 3/12/03 |
| 9.3 | | Initiate Discussion on agricultural water rights | PU to identify issues and approach to problem | PU Chair to pass onto Golder In new write up formate | 3/12/03 |

NEW BUSINESS:

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|---|---|----------|
| 11.1 | 6:15 | USGS to present Draft Ground Water Report | Chris Konrad | Update presented | |
| 11.2 | 7:15 | Catch Up Session with Bob Anderson with Golder Assoc. | Bob Anderson | Spool Up, PU to give final input into Plan Outline | 2/23/03 |
| 10.2 | | Water Mobility Concepts | PU to identify reasons and purposes for water management options and current barriers to changing water uses | | |
| 10.3 | | Water Storage | Discuss present storage grant, identify potential outcomes and implementation steps | | |

| | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--|--|
| 9:15 | Hot Topics | | |
| 9:30 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | |

The next topics for work session discussion are: water mobility, water storage, forest management, drought management, recharge management.

To be engaged in the next round of discussions you need to read chapters 10 through 12 in Phase II.

Key observations from USGS presentation:

The Alluvial Aquifer Characteristics:

- Fills bottom of the valleys
- Highly permeable recharging and discharging to wells and the associated river/stream
- Yields large volumes of water with little draw down due to unconfined characteristic

Water Quality: Generally better than US EPA standards

Groundwater/surface water interactions:

- Ground water is important for baseflows to associated stream or river contributing from 35 to 96 % of flow in Methow and Twisp Rivers.
- Annual losses from the streamflow in the Methow River recharge the alluvial aquifer from Lost River to Goat Creek and From Winthrop to Pateros.
- Irrigation canal seepage is another source of recharge to the aquifer, about 40,000 acre feet / year
- Canal seepage rate is about 2.0 cfs per mile of unlined canal
- Canal seepage declines over the irrigations season due to several factors: fines from stream seals the canals, tapering off of use which translates to less diverted in late season. The loss rate is half at the end of the season compared to the loss at the beginning of the irrigation season.

MINUTES FOR MEETING:

Meeting opened at 6:15 by Dick Ewing

In attendance were: Mark Love, Mike Gage, John Umberger, Gary Erickson, Connie Iitin, John Stormon, Jim Weed, Bob Anderson, Bob Freeman, Greg Knott, Marty Williams, Ron Perrow, Dick Ewing, Vern Donnett

Minutes of the Feb 5 meeting were approved as corrected and read

Old Business:

Weed reported that commissioners signed contract with DOE for phase III planning through June 30 and authorized Weed to sign work order with Golder through June 30.

Bob Anderson gave report from Golder:

Fact sheets will be distributed monthly, at library, in news paper, on web site, maybe a radio show.
March 12, April 9, May 14, June 4 are dates for deliverables June 28 completed draft.

Under rules:

Weed moved to send monthly status report and budget status to Dick Ewing and he will distribute as he sees fit, e-mail etc. discussed and passed.

Chris Konrad USGS report:

Progress report to date and questions copy will be available in August. Draft will be available on ????

Bob reported on outline and gave March 12 as date to write

Motion by Weed that comments on outline (draft water shed planning framework) be made by Sunday 23 Feb. midnight. Seconded by Knott passed unanimously

Knott said water storage report meeting next Thursday tour at 2 meeting at 6 pu meeting room.

Agenda items water management options and current barriers to changing water uses. Storage grant identify potential outcomes and implementation steps. Pu chair replacement, review and adopt outline.

Meeting adjourned at 8:45

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #12

February 26, 2003

Action Summary

OLD BUSINESS:

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|---|--|----------|
| 10.1 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions | PU Chair | | |
| 8.3 | | Hot topic identification DOE Water Acquisition Program | PU to read to understand DOE's View on utilizing water markets | PU to complete personal review Web site was reissued by John Stormon | 3/03/03 |
| 10.2 | 7:00 | Water Mobility Concepts | PU to identify reasons and purposes for water management options and current barriers to changing water uses | PU did not want to discuss various ideas but wants workshop on the issue. PU did identify issues | 2/2/03 |
| 10.3 | 8:00 | Water Storage | Discuss present storage grant, identify potential outcomes and implementation steps | Grant and pre-authorization to proceed given. Information will be put into interim sessions summary | 2/26/03 |

NEW BUSINESS:

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|----------|
| 12.1 | 6:15 | PU Chair Replacement | PU to nominate and vote | Ron Perrow elected to replace Dick Ewing | 2/26/03 |
| 12.2 | 6:30 | Review Plan Outline for adoption | PU to review and vote | This will be deferred to next meeting after Golder responds to PU input | 3/5/03 |

| | | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 9:15 | Hot Topics | | | |
| 9:30 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |

The next topics for work session discussion are: water mobility, water storage, forest management, drought management, recharge management.

To be engaged in the next round of discussions you need to read chapters 10 through 12 in Phase II.

MEETING MINUTES:

Meeting opened at 6:15 by Dick Ewing

Attendance Dick Ewing, Mark Love, Mike Gage, John Umberger, Gary Erickson, Jim Weed, Jim Weed, Connie Iten, John Morgan (in for Bob Freeman), John Stormon, Jerry Sullivan, Marty Williams, Ron Perrow, Mike Price (City of Twisp)

Minutes of the Feb. 19 meeting were approved as distributed

Item 12.1 – Ron Perrow nominated for Chair position. Ron accepted and was elected unanimously. Greg Knott accepted position of Scribe.

Ron Perrow immediately took over running meeting.

Item 8.3 reviewed and no questions from membership on assignment to review.

Item 10.2 Dick will put out revised version of water mobility plan for review and discussion as an action item for next meeting

Item 10.3 Grant is signed and Ecology has authorized expenditure of \$20,000 of grant . Agencies and County need to finalize financial agreement.

Item 12.2 - Motion Williams moved Weed seconded To change tone of document redefine headings that refer to "Problems" as "issues" and "solutions" will be "actions" throughout document.

Status check - Two things will be added as per last week's motion: 1) Agricultural Water Rights 2) How to include new data. Will be resolved internally by Golder (Ewing)

Motion - Weed moved seconded by Erickson that we accept Dick "six things" Changes for Golder's Draft Planning Outline submitted by Dick Ewing Based on Input from PU received by 2/23/03 Approved unanimously.

Action Items:

1. Dick will check on phone hookup
2. Jim will check on budget for Anderson's attendance via phone at meeting to see if it matches up with funds available
3. Dick will arrange meetings to limit amount of time that Anderson actually needs to be listening and will check with Bob on his view of how his phone and physical attendance should be handled.

This will be a new agenda item for decision next week.

Hot Topics

John Morgan (for Bob Freeman). Expressed concern about lack of Group B domestic regulatory flexibility especially for food handling types for new business that require use of water. Chair suggested the issue will be dealt with later in our process and that what we need is a written summary of the issue for our consideration. John will get issue statement to Dick Ewing via e-mail for consideration possibly at March 12 workshop.

Twisp Representative - Town of Twisp will send a representative or at least review Planning Unit decision.

Weed moved to adjourn.

WATER MOBILITY CONCEPTS SCOPING SESSION

February 26, 2003

Agenda item # 10.2

This was associated with the Pilot Project Water Banking Concept that allowed other uses based upon donations towards instream flows, agriculture, development and commercial uses. This concept was viewed as mandatory and was the only means to get water. The Pilot Project solution was all new uses had to be derived from existing water in use or claims.

The PU will consider other alternatives as long as they are not mandatory. The problem with getting real water from excess claims may be in conflict with existing water applications.

The PU wanted to know: "is donated water to instream flows added to water that is available for future appropriation?"

There is a need for some water mobility concept because water law in its present form is rigid preventing moving water to new uses. Also the paper water interferes with both mobility and water availability. DOE points out that change of use for water is possible, it the new water that's the problem. But the present obstacle to changes in use or points of use is the determination of the real water in the water right or claim. In practice for tallying up water use in a basin, the paper water counts, but if the right is sold then only what has definitely been put to beneficial use is the salable right.

DOE trust water programs offer many options. The PU needs to be informed about what these are, how they work and their limitations.

A key problem that has to be resolved is that all "new" water is subject to instream flows. Consequently there is no means to get "year round" water for towns, group wells, commercial uses or uninterrupted water for agriculture.

The PU did not want to discuss the water exchange program at this session, but wanted a work shop on various concepts.

2 CFS RESERVATION SCOPING SESSION

January 8, 2002

There is a basic problem with the 2 cfs reservation: compared to the total water budget, domestic consumption of water is inconsequential. However, the 1976 Methow Rule <http://www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/wac173548.html> set minimum instream flows. Consequently all future appropriation is subject to instream flows. According to DOE's interpretation of the law removing the 2 cfs reservation would then make all domestic exempt wells subject to instream flows. Also if water quality is changed then it is consumed.

Possible ways to address the 2cfs reservation and water quality change:

- One way to address the problem is to redefine consumptive use. Right now the consumptive use must be defined by the demand of the exempt right which is 5,000 gallons per day (gpd).
- Separate in house use from outside use. A determination may be made on what is the possible use for a single domestic well due to our seasons and a short 155 day irrigation season.
- Evaluate ditch leakage from irrigation canals as a possible mitigation for domestic use.
- Examine water reuse from domestic wells

To evaluate how to proceed for this scoping session the following materials were identified as needed:

- Read Chapters 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 of the Phase II report
- Peterson/Jackson; *Methow River Basin 2 cfs water Inventory*, DOE, 1990
- Peterson/Jackson; *Technical Review of Methow River Basin Single Domestic Instantaneous Water Use Estimate*, DOE, 1990.
- *Recent Water Use In the Methow River Valley: An Estimate*, DOE, 1991
- WAC 173-548 1976 Methow Basin Rule
- Highland Ass.; *Population and Growth Data for the Methow River Basin*, 1993
- Isay Report
- Golder's paper on predicting future use, Note also Chapter 9 Phase II
- County zoning and planning goals
- Identify water available in each basin
- Parcel map of Methow Valley

2 CFS RESERVATION SCOPING SESSION

January 8, 2002

Updated from January 15, PU Meeting

There is a basic problem with the 2 cfs reservation: compared to the total water budget, domestic consumption of water is inconsequential. However, the 1976 Methow Rule <http://www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/wac173548.html> set minimum instream flows. Consequently all future appropriation is subject to instream flows. According to DOE's interpretation of the law removing the 2 cfs reservation would then make all domestic exempt wells subject to instream flows. Also if water quality is changed then it is consumed.

Possible ways to address the 2cfs reservation and water quality change:

- One way to address the problem is to redefine consumptive use. Right now the consumptive use must be defined by the demand of the exempt right which is 5,000 gallons per day (gpd).
- Separate in house use from outside use. A determination may be made on what is the possible use for a single domestic well due to our seasons and a short 155 day irrigation season.
- Evaluate ditch leakage from irrigation canals as a possible mitigation for domestic use.
- Examine water reuse from domestic wells

To evaluate how to proceed for this scoping session the following materials were identified as needed:

- Read Chapters 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 of the Phase II report
- Peterson/Jackson; *Methow River Basin 2 cfs water Inventory*, DOE, 1990
- Peterson/Jackson; *Technical Review of Methow River Basin Single Domestic Instantaneous Water Use Estimate*, DOE, 1990.
- *Recent Water Use In the Methow River Valley: An Estimate*, DOE, 1991
- WAC 173-548 1976 Methow Basin Rule
- Highland Ass.; *Population and Growth Data for the Methow River Basin*, 1993
- Isay Report
- Golder's paper on predicting future use, Note also Chapter 9 Phase II
- County zoning and planning goals
- Identify water available in each basin
- Parcel map of Methow Valley

Have Golder correct current number of exempt wells to include only those that have been put into use since 1976. The 2 cfs reservation was only for domestic exempt wells since 1976, making the total number of domestic wells much less.

Obtain other municipality consumptive water use figures for domestic use to compare to the Winthrop and Twisp consumptive use figures in Phase II report. Cities should be from the Eastern Cascades and include Spokane, Wenatchee and

Chelan. Also the PU wants to know the industry standard for domestic use when planning for municipal water supplies.

We need to identify what we want to count towards domestic use..in house use only, outside use?

We need to differentiate the number of homes on irrigations ditches that will use ditch water for irrigation. Thus we need to know the number of assessed irrigation parcels.

Establish the present and future use against the 2 cfs reservation

Determine the affect of build-out on ground water.

Correlate domestic exempt well use by month and reach to streamflows and ground water availability.

Relate to other uses such as agricultural uses, municipal etc.

Determine cumulative impact of human water use by reach.

All lands within the closed basins should not be counted towards the 2 cfs reservation. These lands need to be excluded from full build out projections unless there is a basis for opening up any of the closed basins. Golder Associates should be able to recommend if this is possible with current data.

HOW TO DETERMINE WATER USE FOR DOMESTIC EXEMPT WELLS AND 2CFS LIMITATION:

Prepared by Dick Ewing 1/29/03

1. Demand use: This would be at 5,000 gallons per day

2. Determine actual use:

This would be based upon what portion of the 5,000 gallons per day is used. In the Phase II report Golder Associates has estimated this use based upon the Town of Twisp. The computation of actual use includes two concepts Base Use, that is generally considered as in house use, and Peak Use which is from April through September and includes the base use plus outdoor uses.

Base use defined p. 10-12: 130 gallons per day per capita (per person) with a 15% - 30% consumptive use

Peak Use defined p. 10-12: 470 gallons per day per capita with a 76% consumptive use.

If the average household size in Okanogan County is 2.54 people then domestic exempt actual use per household is:

October – March: 325 gallons per day = 0.0005 cfs

April – September: 1,194 gallons per day = 0.0019 cfs

The total actual use then is 277,218 gallons per household per year or an average of 760 gallons per day. This is about 0.0012 cfs.

The number of exempt wells that can exist under the present 2cfs reservation based upon actual use is 1,667 per 2 cfs reservation. This is approximately 11,669 exempt wells since 1976. How this compares to future build out is seen by comparing this to the 9,547 Potential residential parcels at buildout under current zoning.

The only hitch in taking this position is that three reaches exceed the 1,667 exempt wells/reach, Upper Methow, Middle Methow and the Lower Methow at buildout. We can't be sure what portion of the wells that existed before 1976 were in these reaches. See Table 9-17.

This could be improved by re-considering the assumptions in the Phase II document on page 9-9. Some additional work may be done to improve on the assumptions such as:

1. Determine the number of parcels that will be on irrigated land and thus use irrigation rights for out door use.
2. Determine the developable parcels in up lands that by zoning law will have 20 acre parcels, not the 5 acre parcels assumed at buildout.

3. Determine Consumptive use:

Phase II Document shows for the Town of Twisp a consumptive use of 15-30% for October – April and a consumptive use of 76% from May – September. If the average daily use over the year is refigured (98 gallons/day October –April and 907 gallons per day May- September) then daily use is 502 gallons per day or 0.0008 cfs. Then 2,500 homes can be built per reach. The consequence is none of the reaches exceed

the 2 cfs reservation at buildout based upon consumptive use with the figures Golder has provided.

4. Other considerations:

There may be safety in trying to button this down as tight as possible. So it might be good to do the irrigated vs none irrigated parcels and the separation of upland development from lowland development. We can also point to trends that might show over time that seasonal population won't fall below a certain level. For example in 1975-1976, 56.9% of the land owners were absentee. Golder has noted the census of 1990 showed absentee ownership dropped to 42%. Is this a trend or will the valley by nature always have an absentee ownership and at what level? This may be found out by looking at other communities such as Sun Valley which is mature in development sequence compared to the Methow. If Golder's figures include the closed basins, then the closed basins must be excluded from the exempt well appropriation against the 2 cfs.

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #15

March 19, 2003

Action Summary

OLD BUSINESS:

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|---|--|----------|
| 10.1 | 5:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions | PU Chair | | |
| 10.2 | NT | Hot topic identification Water Mobility Concepts | PU to identify reasons and purposes for water management options and current barriers to changing water uses and Water exchange Program | To be rescheduled | 3/19/03 |
| 13.1 | NT | Forest Management | PU to identify issues and preferred solutions | Scheduled for March 26, Greg Knott to present Wallowa Program | 3/26/03 |
| 13.2 | NT | Drought Management | PU to identify issues and preferred solutions | Schedule for March 26 | 3/26/03 |

NEW BUSINESS:

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|------------------------------------|--|----------|
| 15.1 | 5:15 | Bob Barwin Presentation and discussion | PU to come prepared with questions | Note minutes and Planning scenarios highlight by Bob | 3/19/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 9:15 | Hot Topics | | | |
| | 9:30 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |

MEETING MINUTES: March 19, 2003:

MEETING AGENDA P-III # 15 Action Summary

Meeting Minutes March 19, 2003

Meeting called to order by Vice Chairman Erickson at 1710

In attendance: Dick Ewing, Bob Barwin, Mike Gage, John Umberger, Marty Williams, Connie Iten, Susan Bergdorf-Beery, John Stormon, Rick Karro, Gary Erickson.

Minutes approval deferred until all members read.

Motion to approve previous minutes as corrected by John Umberger seconded by Gage (Stormon and Karro were in attendance Umberger spelling corrected) approved unanimously.

Knott presented Landowner's Preface to the Entiat Coordinated Resource Management Plan to the Planning Unit as background information.

New Business

Floor yielded to Bob Barwin to present ideas on watershed planning. Gave history of present water rule in the Methow based on work done in 1977.

(Mark Love, John Hayes joined meeting).

Hayes had floor to present issue of allowing uninterrupted use for community group water systems. Used Mazama as an example of need.

(Karla Christianson joined meeting)

Long discussion ensued with no decision items.

Mike Gage has obtained Post Office box and presented bill to Jim Weed.

Motion to adjourn and thank Bob Barwin for his time by Umberger seconded by Knott Unanimously approved.

Charts from Bob Barwin's Presentation at Methow Basin Planning Unit, 3/19/03

EXISTING PROGRAM

- Reconstructed Natural Flow Hydrograph
- Minimum/Base Flow Allocation
- Prioritization of Uses
- Water Budget Broken Down to Monthly Values
- Risk of Shortfall Placed on Prospective Water Right Permittees
- Ecology Program to Manage/Enforce Conditioned Permits

"PILOT" PLAN

- Founded on Water Use Management
- Efficiency Standards For Variety Of Uses
- Trust Water Program To Be Used For Water Banking
- Utilizes Market Approach To Free Water From Existing Uses
- New Uses Obtain Water From Improved Management Of Existing Uses

NORMATIVE RIVER a.k.a. "SHARED SHORTFALL"

- Reconstructed Natural Flow Hydrograph
- Set Instream/Base Flows That Vary In Some Relation To Seasonal or Annual Runoff
- Out-of-Stream Uses Reduced In Low/Lower Water Years
- Local Plan To Identify & Acquire Low Flow Options
- Water Efficiency Standards

MBI MEETING AGENDA P-III # 15 Action Summary

"FIX WHAT'S BROKEN & DON'T BREAK IT TOMORROW"

- Inventory Of Public Assets
- Inventory Of Private Assets
- Problem Identification
- Goal Identification
- Project Formulation
- Examples:
 - WQ/WR Assessments
 - Limiting Factors Assessments
 - Water Quality 303(d) List
 - Endangered Species Act Listing
- Plan Might Look Like Pilot Plan w/ ESA(HCP) & TMDL Elements

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #16
March 26, 2003

OLD BUSINESS:

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|--|--|----------|
| 10.1 | 6:00 | <u>Meeting Opening:</u> Introductions | PU Chair | | |
| 13.1 | 6:45 | Hot topic identification Forest Management | PU to identify issues and preferred solutions | Scheduled for March 26, Greg Knott to present Wallowa Program | 3/26/03 |
| 15.1 | 6:15 | Bob Barwin Presentation and discussion | PU Feedback/Discussion of watershed planning scenarios | | 3/26/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS:

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|---|--------|----------|
| 16.1 | 7:15 | Elevating Group domestic to single domestic status | PU to scope issues and potential actions | | 3/26/03 |
| 16.2 | 8:15 | Water for Future Growth | PU to scope issues and identify potential actions | | 3/26/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | 9:15 | Hot Topics | | | |
| | 9:30 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |

Note that we are now starting the Interim Session 3 where the topic of issue and possible action scooping will cover the following issues: **group domestic, water for future growth, water for towns, and habitat preservation and enhancement.** Bob Anderson suggested we move through all the issues and get a first cut on each topic. Then we can spend the rest of April and May developing our preferred actions.

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #16

March 26, 2003

Action Summary

OLD BUSINESS:

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|--|---|----------|
| 10.1 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions | PU Chair | | |
| 13.1 | 6:45 | Hot topic identification Forest Management | PU to identify issues and preferred solutions | Postponed. Planning Unit discussed question Where are We? | 3/26/03 |
| 15.1 | 6:15 | Bob Barwin Presentation and discussion | PU Feedback/Discussion of watershed planning scenarios | Discussion complete as summarized in minutes | 3/26/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS:

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|---|--|----------|
| 16.1 | 7:15 | Elevating Group domestic to single domestic status | PU to scope issues and potential actions | Note minutes below and work session worksheet | 3/26/03 |
| 16.2 | 8:15 | Water for Future Growth | PU to scope issues and identify potential actions | Note minutes below and work session work sheet | 3/26/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 9:15 | Hot Topics | | | |
| | 9:30 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |

Note that we are now starting the Interim Session 3 where the topic of issue and possible action scooping will cover the following issues: **group domestic, water for future growth, water for towns, and habitat preservation and enhancement.** Bob Anderson

suggested we move through all the issues and get a first cut on each topic. Then we can spend the rest of April and May developing our preferred actions.

MEETING MINUTES:

In Attendance: Ron Perrow, Marty Williams, Bob Freeman, Jerry Sullivan, John Stormon, Connie Iten, Jim Weed, Mark Love, Rick Karro, John Umberger, Mike Gage, Gary Erickson, Dick Ewing

Minutes of March 19 meeting approved.

Ron Perrow presented the discussion of folding 90.82.070 into our work plan outline. It was pointed out that Phase II has already addressed the first section of 90.82.070, Assessment. The PU is currently working on Section 2, Developing strategies for increasing water supplies and meeting various identified uses. The schedule developed by Golder and the Interim Work Sessions are the means for the PU to give input into Section 2. Golder Associates is in the process of writing the first two chapters of the Plan Outline for us to review. Dick Ewing pointed out that we are on schedule if we complete the last two topics of the Interim Sessions by April 2.

The issue pointed out that contributes to the confusion is that people need to come prepared having read pertinent sections of Phase II. Gary suggested that when we need to read anything that the entire article be included as an attachment instead of a link to a chapter.

Discussed question: Are we on track. Reviewed Dick Ewings outline of where we are. Ewing said we are on track with the Outline adopted by PU and the Work Order as developed by Golder which the PU also accepted. These are the two documents the PU is to follow since the PU adopted this as the means for completing Phase III.

Bob Barwin's presentation was discussed. This centered around understanding the 4 watershed management options Bob presented. The main point of Bob's presentation was to focus on the bigger picture and what would make it easy for DOE to manage water resources. He was merely suggesting these points be considered in watershed planning.

Discussed having Kris Coffman make a presentation to PU, but no date set.

Jim Weed said first financial statement will be available by next PU meeting

Two Work Session topics were discussed: Elevating group domestic to single domestic status, water for future needs. Meeting adjourned at 9:30.

**COMPILATION OF COMMENTS ON 1ST FOUR INTERIM SESSIONS WRITE
UPS**

March 23, 2003

Compiled by Dick Ewing

Dick,

The only specific comments that I have are:

Page 1, 0.1 Issue Summary, 3rd Line; " The 2cfs reservation excludes exempt uses for domestic....in stream flow limitations." I'm confused, if they are EXCLUDED, what is included and why are we discussing the exempt uses as a part of the 2cfs reservation?

Page 4 2CFS-2: I thought John said that indeed DOE has the flexibility to use less than 5000 gpd.

Other than the above, the outline and plans on filling the missing data are ok but may change, be added to, etc. depending on what the missing info shows.

In my ignorance, I don't understand why growth control doesn't include new Class B and A Systems, why existing interruptable domestic water rights granted since 1976 don't have priority over future new rights when controlling growth, etc. but those are my problems to solve before commenting.

Bob Freeman
Mazama Mountain Mail

Comments on Draft 1st Interim Work Session

Submitted by John Stormon

3/19/03

All documents should include a date and page number on every page to allow easy reference and comment. Please include these in all future drafts.

Some of the sections were more specific and well defined than others. It was difficult to comment on the less defined sections. Further comments will be provided as these sections are brought into clearer focus.

The "2 cfs reservation" (WAC 173-548)

It appears that this section as written only addresses total domestic uses. The 2cfs reservation established under RCW 173-548-030 includes stock uses. **The section should be amended to include stock uses in the count.**

Watershed planning under Chapter 90.82 RCW does not require establishing how uses are counted under the 2cfs reservation. RCW 90.82 does require an estimate of actual use. It might be productive to make this point, since we may not reach consensus on how to make the count. If we do try to count against the 2cfs reservation we must include stock uses.

I believe that the only way we will reach a consensus on the count is to include a range based on various methods for counting. If only one number is allowed, I think we will reach an impasse.

Section 1.1

1st Paragraph: This includes, "The 2 cfs reservation excludes exempt uses for domestic and stock water purposes from in-stream flow limitations. This reservation applies to each of the seven river reaches totaling 14 cfs."

These statements should be improved to correctly state what the 2cfs reservation does. 2cfs is reserved per reach, lumping them into a basin wide 14cfs is not appropriate. See State's comments on Methow Phase 2 assessment. The "14cfs" sentence as written, though not incorrect, may be misleading and could be dropped without changing the important point of paragraph.

Suggested wording:

Chapter 173-548-030 WAC reserves 2cfs in each of the seven reaches of the Methow River Basin for development of new single domestic and stock uses after 1976, the effective date of the rule. New uses under the 2cfs reservation are not subject to interruption when flows are below the established base flows.

2nd paragraph: This includes, "The primary issue is related to how water use is calculated and "counted" toward the 2 cfs reservation. Ecology uses the 5,000 gallon per day (gpd) permit exemption amount specified in RCW 90.44 ."

This is not correct, Ecology has not mandated how to count new uses. See "Recent Water Use In The Methow River Valley: An Estimate", December 1991. The paragraph should be corrected to match what has been stated in this document and the rest of the paragraph corrected appropriately.

3rd paragraph: WAC 173-548-030 does include provisions for the revision of this section though it does not exempt revisions from any other aspect of the rule or water law, including impairment of existing water rights which include the established base flows.

Section 1.2

1st paragraph: This includes, "The discrepancy is essentially an example of a conflict between land-use planning and water regulation." Okanogan County land use planning has not considered water availability as a criteria. In addition, the paragraph has not

defined what the discrepancy is. This statement is misleading and should be corrected. I suggest that instead of “discrepancy” a more neutral word like “differences” should be used as well as defining what the differences may be.

2nd paragraph: This paragraph has no bearing if Ecology’s position is correctly stated, see comment on Section 1.1, 2nd paragraph.

Section 1.4

The 2cfs reservation is established under WAC 173-548-030, not 020.

The Actions do not appear to include an option for actual use, without consumptive use and hydraulic continuity. Consumptive use and hydraulic continuity arguments are frequently misused and we may not reach agreement on how they are used. Please add an option for “actual use” or since we will probably argue over “actual” how about “Amount of Water Withdrawn” without the consumptive use or continuity factors.

2CFS-1: Should be corrected by removing the word, “No action. Continue to” See comment on Section 1.1, 2nd paragraph.

Section 1.6

2CFS-1: Should be corrected, see comment for Section 1.4

2CFS-2: An allowance for “return flow for septic” will be problematic. Any return flow must be of the same quality as the water withdrawn and must be returned to the same aquifer to be considered non-consumptive. Septic tank outflow does not meet these criteria unless there is additional treatment. The bullet which starts, RCW 90.44.050, should be corrected, see previous comments.

2CFS-3: Ecology already commented on this in Phase 2. Closed basins should not be included.

2CFS-4: This option will be difficult and may not be appropriate in a estimate. If the planning unit would like to measure actual use by requiring meters on every well, this can be included.

2CFS-5: This would be problematic. At a minimum the planning unit would need to show how, where and when this occurs. An expansion of water rights would not be likely to be allowed under current water law.

2CFS-6: Increasing the 2cfs reservation would only work if the planning unit shows that water is available. Existing water rights, including established base flows, may not be impaired.

2CFS-7: See comment above for 2CFS-6. May not impair existing water rights.

2CFS-8: Watershed planning under RCW 90.82 is supposed to be a comprehensive planning effort so if this is included in the plan and the County accepts the obligation, it can be done.

Actual Water Use Versus DOE Claims Register

Section 2.2

In addition to the potential for multiple filings, this section should include the fact that individual claims were submitted for more water than they were actually using.

Section 2.4

Additional proposed actions were suggested in MBPU meeting on March 12th. Please add these actions.

Section 2.6

CLAIMS-2: Please add the following to "Reasons for Adjudication": Only legally available method for resolving the difference between actual water use and amount of water claimed. After a basin adjudication, it will be possible to determine if water is available for further appropriation. Only after a basin adjudication will Ecology be able to use enforcement to protect senior water right holders from impairment by less senior users. A basin adjudication will provide certainty for individual water right holders. After a basin adjudication, all claims to water will be extinguished and water right holders will receive water right certificates.

Basin Closures

Synopsis of Work Session Input

1st bullet: Basins are closed because all available water is allocated. Mr. Ewing has been provided with paper copies of basin closure reports.

If water is found to be available for further appropriations, establishing minimum stream flow in these basins would be likely to be required prior to processing new water rights.

An adjudication of water rights in closed basins would be likely to be required to determine if additional water is available for new appropriations.

Agricultural Water Rights

Synopsis of Work Session Input

Most of this section highlights conflicting goals held by some planning unit members. If members wish to expand water rights to include more than the water put to the stated beneficial use, the differences between actual water use and paper water may change significantly. The actual water use totals, under this expanded beneficial use, would increase significantly and may approach the total in the paper water registry.

Current water law requires Ecology to figure the extent and validity of a water right based on the amount of water put to the stated beneficial use.

Paragraph 3, suggests the expansion of water rights by adding additional beneficial uses. In addition to the stated issues, the total water use numbers would need to be adjusted appropriately, if this were allowed.

Section 4.5

AG-2: A technical issue that should be included is, "What effect will the proposed expansion of water rights have on the amount of water available for new appropriations?"

AG-3: Replace the word "abandonment" with "relinquishment" because these are quite different concepts under the law and only relinquishment occurs in a defined period of time.

AG-5: As in comment for AG-2, the issues should include the effect of this expansion of water rights on water available.

Section 4.6

AG-2: This would require a change from current RCW's.

AG-3: This would require a change from current RCW's.

AG-5: This would require a change from current RCW's.

Comments on Draft 1st Interim Work Session
Submitted by Connie Iten, WDFW
23 March 2003

The background issue for the "2 cfs reservation" section states that there is a basic conflict between land-use planning and water regulation. Not only does Okanogan County not consider water availability a criteria for land-use planning, it has not defined the limits of the area to be occupied by the river, resulting in further degradation to the floodplain and riparian areas.

Floodplains provide valuable water storage areas if they remain intact and connected to the channel. The Planning Unit might consider recommendations to the County on acceptable land use activities within the floodplain and riparian areas. For example, land uses such as agriculture that do not compact or harden the floodprone areas, while leaving the riparian zone intact, allow the river to access it's floodplain and store water there. The more water that can be stored naturally by the river, the more water is available to contribute to instream flows and the availability of water throughout the basin.

But, not only are the defined instream flows critical during those times of the year when water levels are naturally or artificially low, instream flows are also

necessary during high water events. High flows are essential to maintaining the fluvial geomorphological characteristics of the system. Every effort should be made to maintain instream flows at an optimal level to provide for channel formation, pool and riffle formation, growth of riparian vegetation and floodplain integration. Connectivity with the floodplain is key to natural water storage.

Land use planning efforts need to incorporate a delineation of the channel migration zone (CMZ). By establishing this area where the active channel of a stream is prone to movement over time, management strategies can be developed to protect the ecological functions in the CMZs critical to the reduction of flood hazards, erosion and habitat loss, as well as the need for future shoreline stabilization. Maintaining an adequate CMZ allows for natural water storage within the basin.

Land use planning efforts that maintain an adequate channel migration zone, riparian area and floodplain can suit many of the needs of the Methow Basin. The riparian area, where aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems interact is essential for both fish and wildlife. Streamside plants moderate temperatures, promote streambank stability and provide organic nutrients to the system. Riparian trees provide the channel forming structure for pools, riffles and side channels, as well as maintaining the connectivity between the channel and the floodplain. Side channels, in addition to providing essential habitat for salmonids, also provide storage of floodwaters and dissipation of channel energy. This area that supports the fish, wildlife and natural landscape also supports the new "industry" of tourism in the valley. Maintaining agricultural uses in the floodprone areas helps to protect the river from further channelization and disconnection from the floodplain, and maintains the floodplains' ability to absorb floodwaters.

WATER FOR FUTURE GROWTH
Work Session Write Up
Agenda # 16.2
March 26, 2003

The following uses were identified that need to be met for the future:

- Single multi family domestic
- Municipal
- Instream flows for fish
- Recreation
- Energy
- Agriculture

This topic dovetails with other issues so input was we need to know where we are going with such topics as agriculture rights, 2 cfs reservation, excess claims etc.

Need to document what water is left

PU identified that the main problem is to find new water uses with uninterruptible status whether seasonal or for year round uses.

Options for providing water:

Storage

Recharge from Transportation loss

Find ways to get new uses from existing water rights or uses

ELEVATE GROUP DOMESTIC TO SINGLE DOMESTIC STATUS

March 26, 2003

Agenda #16.1

Definition of Group Domestic: A group domestic well can have exempt status under existing law (But not under the Methow Rule) if the group domestic usage does not exceed 5,000 gallons per day and that no more than ½ acre is irrigated.

Advantages/Reasons to make this provision:

Some group domestics which exist in the Methow are interruptible.

Can not get a group exempt domestic in the Methow.

Group domestic in the Methow will offer several advantages

- Fewer wells will be dug

- Flexibility in developing more difficult properties where there may be only one area where water is obtainable

- Water use will be limited, although if the average usage is 600 gpd per household, group domestic wells will merely guarantee that a home can't exceed that amount. So for planning purposes there will not be any additional water savings.

All new uses including group domestic are subject to base flows in Methow Rule

Problems identified that need to be addressed with such a change:

- Change can't impair senior rights

- Need to define how many units allowed/ exempt group domestic well

- Need to define water quantity for outside and in house use

- Need to show that addition of this use can stay within 2 cfs reservation

- DOE says plan must show that instream flows will not be impaired by addition of new use, but PU says if the use is exempt and confined to the 2 cfs reservation that the instream issue is addressed by the reservation

- Would this provision increase dwelling unit density?

- Would this allow an increase in the number of parcels?

- Will need some form of verification that group domestics stay under 5,000 gallons/day

- Would require change in county planning to allow for group domestic uses that would address problems noted above.

Action alternatives:

- No Action, Status quo

- Elevate group domestic to single domestic status

- Include in present 2 cfs reservation

- Create a new reservation for 2 cfs

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #21

April 30, 2003

Action Summary

GOLDER ASSOCIATES PRESENTATION ON INTERIM WORK SESSION WRITE UPS

Attention: The PU meeting will start at 5:00 pm. Come prepared to pay for your share of pizza and drinks.

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|---|--|----------|
| 21.0 | 5:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification | PU Chair | | |
| 21.2 | | Kris Kauffman's presentation to PU | <p>PU to OK up to \$500.00</p> <p>PU to OK providing copies of: Methow Basin Plan, The Water Resources of the Methow Basin, July 1976, The Methow River Basin Level B Study, The Methow River Basin Citizen's Advisory Committee's Policy Statements</p> <p>PU to Schedule Time, Kris' suggestion is May 21</p> | OK'd one hour presentation but no monies | 4/21/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

The USGS site for the Phase 1 report is: <http://water.usgs.gov/pubs/wri/wri014198/> . I also have three hardcopies left from the publication. Let me know if you want one of those copies.

Meeting Minutes April 23, 2003

Meeting called to order by Chairman Perrow at 1805

In attendance: Dick Ewing, Connie Iten, John Stormon, Jim Weed, Bob Freeman, Gary Erickson Mike Gage, Jerry Sullivan, Rick Karro. John Umburger (late), Karla Christianson (late)

Chase Barton, Chris Johnson - guests.

Freeman moved Weed 2nd Minutes approved

New Business

21.1 Presentation of Plan interim session write up. 1st installment

Ewing presented overview of Draft Watershed Planning Framework.

COMMENTS AFTER THIS MEETING DUE BY May 7 e-mailed to Ewing.

Under rules

Motion by Knott 2nd by Freeman that as first item of business the PU agree on a number for the actual use of water to satisfy the RCW 90.82 requirement that the PU estimate actual water use. Unanimously approved

Weed moved Stormon 2nd to use Dept of Health 1250 g.p.d. in summer and 625 g.p.d. in winter with no return flow factor. Motion failed

Gage moved Freeman 2nd 600 g.p.d./month/residence as daily inhouse and outdoors average for entire year with no return factor. Unanimously approved

Motion by Karro 2nd by Weed to adopt a peak daily use of 1200 gpd during peak use season.

Amendment by Freeman to use "peak month daily average per residence" to describe peak. Passed with Love abstain.

Williams moved 2nd by Umburger that we establish a "return factor" of 75% for indoor use.

Agreement that we will allow Golder to validate this figure and tell us if in their opinion this number is supportable.

Discussion of with Chase answering questions about 2c.f.s. writeup. Freeman posed the question of changes and editorial corrections not being incorporated in the documents. Ewing will forward changes to Golder. They will be processed by Golder prior to release.

Agreement that Introduction should be condensed and resubmitted to PU prior to detailed comments.

21.2 Chris Kaufman's presentation.

For \$500 plus copies of studies, on May 21, Chris Kaufman, one of the developers of the base flows for the Methow, can appear before the PU. His topic will be to answer questions about 1976 Basin Plan.

Under Rules Weed moved that individual members could contact Kaufman to answer specific questions on 1976 Basin Plan or other issues. Payment for written responses is authorized. Motion Withdrawn

Iten moved Weed 2nd that we limit presentation to one hour and submit written questions in advance. Ewing will copy and distribute documents. Motion accepted unanimously

Hot Topics

Knott gave storage update. Riverware model is running The "check the course" storage meeting will be moved to held May 21 from 3:00PM to 5:00PM at the Twisp Conference Room because Warren Sharp is unavailable on the 21st.

Knott suggested we decide location of Big Valley gauge

Decision to put USGS water model scenario development on agenda.

M. J MEETING AGENDA P-III # 21

Motion to Adjourn Knott 2nd by Freeman

Unanimously approved.

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #20

April 23, 2003

Action Summary

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|---|--------------------|----------|
| 10.1 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification | PU Chair | | |
| 19.2 | 6:15 | Chris Konrad's Presentation | Chris Konrad to respond to PU request for input | Note Summary below | 4/23/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------|
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics | | Note in minutes below | 4/23/03 |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|--|--|----------|
| 17.4 | 7:30 | Habitat Preservation and Enhancement | PU to Review write up with John Stormon's comments | PU to edit and accept, Dick Ewing to correct as accepted | 4/23/03 |
| 18.1 | 7:45 | Evaluation Criteria for Potential Action selection | PU to Review and discuss give added input | Criteria retained as a tool for referencing how we made decisions on plan elements | 4/23/03 |
| 6.2 | 8:00 | 2 cfs Reservation Write up | PU to discuss 2 cfs write up and utilize draft evaluation criteria | Review Bob Freeman's proposal | 4/23/03 |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Meeting Minutes April 23, 2003

Meeting called to order by Chairman Perrow at 1805

In attendance: Dick Ewing, Connie Iten, John Stormon, Jim Weed, Bob Freeman, Gary Erickson Mike Gage, Jerry Sullivan, Rick Karro. Karla Christenson

Guest: Lee Bemheisel

Freeman moved Stormon 2nd Minutes approved

Action Summary

New Business

19.2 Chris Konrad's Presentation.

Chris Konrad presented an overview of USGS work to date.

1. The ground water surface water interaction model study is being reviewed internally and will not be released until about July.
2. The surface water model is ready to be downloaded on a local computer. Ewing will contact Matt Ely of USGS to line up a time for download and instruction. The written report will be released in September.
3. Storage model is moving along with surface water input from USGS surface water model. Surveying for off channel storage possibilities is moving along next step is cross section development at the four selected sites. Early info in June with final report completed in September.
4. Water Management alternatives. Model is put together - now PU needs to choose 4 alternatives. Alternatives to run should be substantially different because of limits of model ability to resolve fine scale differences. Discussion of process to develop alternatives and sideboards to scenarios that could be run.
5. Maps – Chris and Greg will work out transferring maps as ArcView coverages
6. Mazama aquifer storage – A rough calculation shows that a 1 foot change in level above Weeman Bridge is about 1000 ac-ft. Problem is that the depression of the aquifer is not uniform with wells upstream rising and falling more than at the front or downstream end near Boesel Canyon.
7. Water Quality Results from quality testing did not show any significant problems. Chris will provide point data to PU
8. Twisp River Groundwater/surface water interaction investigation Chris presented data from Twisp River study that indicated return flows from Twisp River Power and Irrigation canal has an influence on river flows that extends throughout the winter.

17.4 Habitat Preservation and Enhancement

Action Summary

Under Rules Discussion of document with comments presented by Ewing. Moved by Gage and 2nd by Freeman to accept document with changes as discussed by PU.

18.1 Evaluation Criteria for Potential Action selection
Discussion about decision process and evaluation criteria. Agreement to use evaluation criteria as a tool and not a structured process. Previous decision to ask Golder to review proposed criteria rescinded.

6.2 2 cfs Reservation write up. Freeman presented his draft proposal for dealing with 2cfs reservation as a "test case" for decision process.

PROPOSED APPROACH FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE 2CFS RESERVATION

This approach should be accepted by:

1. Ecology because it is:
 - *Consistent with their present policies
 - * Has precedent in their prior acceptances
 - * Integrates DOE and County efforts
2. Okanogan County because it:
 - * Provides clear water availability rule for issuing building permits
 - * Integrates DOE, DOH and County efforts
3. The Public because:
 - * It doesn't restrict any existing water right
 - * It makes uninterrupted water available for all remaining undeveloped single family domestic parcels in the Methow Valley.
 - *Can facilitate provision for converting agricultural rights, Group A and B Domestic Systems, and for added City water within 2cfs Reservation.
 - * Documents DOE, DOH, and County agreement to abide by this approach.

DRAFT PROPOSED APPROACH

1. Use 600 gpd per residence in estimating annual water use for parcels subject to 2 cfs reservation based on average annual total Twisp use. This is a conservative number since it assumes full time residents for all parcels at full build out. However, based on history

Action Summary

to date, a high percentage of the buildings will be seasonal. Use past history of developed parcels to estimate % seasonal and average length of seasonal use to estimate reserve. (or reduce 600 gpd). Table 3-6 shows all sub basins to be within 2 cfs limit at full build out. Table 3-6 shows that 6.2 of the total 14.0 cfs reservation is used at full **maximum** build out, leaving 7.8 cfs for other uses. Only the Lower Methow exceeds the 2cfs-See Item 3 for how to fix that.

2. In order to benefit from the 2cfs reservation, require all new developments to:
 - a. Install meters with yearly reporting of water use by owner, This allows monitoring of actual use and future modification of the 600 gpd usage up or down based on actual use.(Almost certainly down, making more water available for other uses)
 - b. Agree to limit water use to in-house only when river flows are below minimums. This eliminates the need to consider the 1200 gpd use, since it eliminates consumptive use during these periods.

NOTE: This item. also provide the data and process for allowing DOE and County coordination

3. Allow adjustment of each reach allotment from one reach to another based on estimated use and needs (2CFS-7). This allows the Lower Methow 2.2 cfs to be reduced to less than the 2cfs limit. It also can allow the remaining 7.8 cfs to be used to supply 2cfs for cities, provide for Group A Domestic Systems with the same Item 2 restrictions, and provide for needs being considered under other Topics.

CONCLUSION: This approach should be acceptable to DOE, Okanogan County and other government agencies involved. Their commitment should then relieve public concern about the control of changes and provide a trackable way of managing water within the valley.

PU RESPONSE:

Accepted philosophy that a number should be established for estimated use, but no agreement was made on the number or whether the use should be consumptive or demand use.

Meters not accepted, as they are not needed at this time

Discussion on separating in house use from outside use: It was felt this had merits especially during drought periods where outside use could be curtailed.

The need to re-allot the portions of the 2 cfs reservation that are not used to other reaches with higher use was discussed but not decided upon as necessary.

Hot Topics

MLL-U MEETING AGENDA P-III # 20

Action Summary

6

Dick Ewing reviewed Watershed Planning Process Overview handout. His purpose was to remind PU members where we are and where we have been as a PU.

Weed handed out draft Wetted Perimeter report from Golder. **REVIEW DUE FROM PLANNING UNIT BY MAY 28.**

Knott gave storage update Reclamation is moving along with data on existing reservoirs input into model a "check the course" storage meeting will be held May 21 from 3:00PM to 5:00PM at the Twisp Conference Room

Motion to Adjourn Knott 2nd by Perrow

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #19

April 16, 2003

Action Summary

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|-------------|-------------|---|---|--|-----------------|
| 10.1 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification | PU Chair | | |
| 18.4 | 6:15 | PU to edit and accept Fact Sheet #2 | PU to accept a version proposed by Ron Perrow | Not done, fact sheet format not acceptable for MVN. Press Release to go in its place, fact sheet to be used for PO and libraries | 4/16/01 |
| 18.5 | | Public Input Process | Marty Williams to present comment | Not motions below | 4/16/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|-------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| 19.1 | 6:30 | PU to Review Press Release #2 | PU to revise and accept | Accepted and referred to MVN | 4/16/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--------------------------------------|--|--|----------|
| 17.4 | 7:00 | Habitat Preservation and Enhancement | Review write up | DE to include Stormons comments and resubmit | 4/16/03 |
| 18.1 | 7:15 | Review Potential Action Handout | PU to Review and discuss but from point of view of developing management options | PU came up with draft evaluation criteria, wants Golder to provide 2 cfs write up by Friday April 18 | 4/16/03 |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|-------|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------|----------|
| 19.2 | 8:15 | Develop Questions for Chris Konrad's presentation on 23rd | PU to develop questions and discuss | Note Minutes below | 4/16/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics-Storage | Greg Knott | See below | |
| | 9:150 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |

Meeting Minutes April 16, 2003

Meeting called to order by Chairman Perrow at 1817

In attendance: Dick Ewing, Connie Iten, John Stormon, Jim Weed, Bob Freeman, Mark Love Mike Gage, Marty Williams. Vern Donnet (Late), Chase Barton Golder by speakerphone (Late)

Weed moved, Freeman 2nd Minutes approved

Old Business

17.1 Discussion on requests for information from planning unit.

Discussion on ground rules for public input at meetings.

Under Rules: Motion by Knott seconded by Bob Freeman "Members of the public who wish to comment will be given 10 minutes at the beginning of the meeting to speak. If there is more than one member of the public who wish to comment, they will be given 5 minutes who wants. Passed unanimously"

New Business

18.4 Accept Fact Sheet #2

Under Rules: Motion by Weed 2nd Williams to accept Press Release #2 in lieu of Fact Sheet #2. Passed unanimously.

Motion by Knott 2nd by Weed 16.1 & 16.2 In addition to our normal public information processes Dick Ewing is authorized to place copies of Fact Sheets in the Pateros, Methow, Carlton, Twisp, and Winthrop Post Offices. Interested publics will be directed to the libraries for additional information.

17.4 Habitat Preservation and Enhancement

Editorial comments by Freeman accepted. Document will be edited and presented for final approval last meeting.

18.1 Review Potential Action Handout

Discussion about decision process and evaluation criteria. Agreement to have 2cfs write-up including comparison with our draft evaluation criteria from Golder by this Friday for discussion next Wednesday. Write-ups on the rest will be delivered by Monday the 28th days.

Draft Criteria:

- a) agreement with current regulations

- b) quality of data
- c) sound scientific support
- d) cumulative negative impacts
- e) does it meet goals of PU
- f) does it solve the problem
- g) political feasibility
- h) consistency with rest of plan
- i) does it solve more than one issue
- j) is it not an issue
- k) financial feasibility.

Golder was requested to comment on criteria

19.2 Questions for USGS presentation on 23rd

- 1) It was understood that a rough draft report might be available. Is this still possible to receive before the final report?
- 2) When can we have a demo on the model and have the program loaded onto a computer accessible by the PU?
- 3) Can we have smaller scale or electronic versions of the maps?
Wells used in the well monitoring program
aquifer deposit layers and types
- 4) The PU wants as much surface/ground water interaction info you can provide especially relating to ground water recharge from ditches, affects on stream flows and the chemical analysis. We recall that pH, NO₃, temperature and Phosphates were going to be analyzed at ground water entry points
- 5) PU would like a recap on the reports/studies we will be receiving with projected due dates.
- 5) Do you have any information on the Mazama aquifer capacity yet?

Hot Topics

Storage Greg said Reclamation is working on routing model and has framework built and are adding additional info.

Motion to Adjourn Gage 2nd by Stormon

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #18

April 9, 2003

Action Summary

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|----------------|--------|----------|
| 10.1 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification | PU Chair | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|--------|---|--|--|----------|
| 18.4 | 15min | PU to edit and accept Fact Sheet #2 | PU to accept a version | Ron Perrow to rewrite and submit for approval | 4/16/03 |
| 18.2 | 30 min | Change in Golder's Schedule | PU to discuss and give feed back See note below | PU accepted extension to April 30 th , but wants deliverables still to come in the three sections | 4/09/03 |
| 18.3 | 30 min | Using our time until April 30 th | PU to discuss | Chris Konrad to update on groundwater study/computer model | 4/23/03 |

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|-------------|-------|---|--|--|----------|
| 16.1 & 16.2 | 15min | Finalize Group Domestic, Water for future write ups | PU to finalize and accept | PU reviewed and referred to Dick Ewing to write up in Plan Outline | 4/09/03 |
| 17.3 | 15min | Finalize discussion on Water for towns | PU to Finalize and accept | PU reviewed and referred to Dick Ewing to write up in Plan Outline | 4/09/03 |
| 17.4 | 60min | Habitat Preservation and Enhancement | Read RCW 90.82.100, Wetted Perimeter Study and evaluate current ESA enforcement focus. Identify issues that affect water quantity and future basin planning, come up with action options | Dick Ewing to Write up discussion results | 4/09/03 |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|-------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---|----------|
| 18.1 | 60min | Review Potential Action Handout | PU to Review and discuss | PU discussed, note additions to handout | 4/09/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 9:15 | Hot Topics | | | |
| | 9:30 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |

Note that we are now starting the Interim Session 3 where the topic of issue and possible action scooping will cover the following issues: **group domestic, water for future growth, water for towns, and habitat preservation and enhancement.** Bob Anderson suggested we move through all the issues and get a first cut on each topic. Then we can spend the rest of April and May developing our preferred actions.

NOTE ON Golder's Rescheduling Request:

We have given Golder a lot of information which Bob feels they need to organize and respond to. Rather than giving out a chunk of information by each interim work session, Bob would like to write up the first draft of everything in an organized form for our review by April 30th.

Meeting Minutes April 9, 2003

Meeting called to order by Chairman Perrow at 1815

In attendance: Dick Ewing, John Umberger, Marty Williams, Connie Iten, John Stormon, Rick Karro, Gary Erickson, Jim Weed, Bob Freeman, Karla Christianson, Mike Gage.

Minutes for April 2 meeting approval with the following changes:

Stormon abstained and Weed voted "no" on Agenda Item 17.1 concerning requests for information from public.

"Without those governments agreeing" added to Hot Topic discussion about Planning Unit's ability to obligate governments.

Motion to approve previous minutes by Weed seconded by Freeman approved unanimously.

Comments to Planning Unit from member of public Lee Bernheisel about public involvement process.

Old Business

17.1 Discussion on requests for information from planning unit.

Under Rules: Motion by Weed seconded by Bob Freeman "In addition to posting all drafts including meeting notes, on the website, Greg Knott will post hard copies of material sent to website in the Twisp Library". Passed unanimously

(No agenda number assigned) Relocation of the gauge at Weeman Bridge.

Motion by Knott seconded by Stormon: That the Planning Unit accept the USGS recommendation to relocate stream gage to the "People Mover" on the mainstem Methow above Wolf Ridge Resort. Passed unanimously.

16.1 & 16.2 Water for Towns and other future uses. Ewing led discussion on these topics to accept comments to finalize the issues statements. Ewing will write up amended comments.

17.4 Habitat Preservation and Enhancement

NEW BUSINESS

18.4 PU to edit and accept Fact Sheet #2
Ron will work on rewrite and will have by next meeting.

18.2 Change in Golder's schedule.

Under Rules Weed moved Erickson 2nd that PU recommend we authorize Jim Weed to renegotiate contract with DOE to expand time limits on 1st three draft deliverables to April 30. based on delivery as completed Passed Unanimously

18.3 Using our time until April 30

Under Rules Gage moved Umberger 2nd that Chris Konrad of USGS present further information on canal recharge at April 23rd meeting.

Hot Topics

Presentation of ideas for information management by Freeman. (Attached to minutes) Agreement to accept procedures.

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #17

April 2, 2003

Action Summary

OLD BUSINESS:

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|--|---|----------|
| 10.1 | 6:00 | <u>Meeting Opening:</u> Introductions | PU Chair | | |
| | | Hot topic identification | | | |
| 17.1 | 6:15 | Providing PU documents to public and persons not on PU | PU to decide how, which documents and who is responsible to do this | See note on 17.1 in Minutes below | 4/02/03 |
| 13.1 | 7:30 | Forest Management | PU to identify issues and preferred solutions | See Notes on | 4/02/03 |
| 6.2 | NT | 2 cfs Reservation | PU to give input on data from Golder, evaluate alternatives and select preferred alternative | Postponed until Golder finishes response to our input | |
| | 6:45 | Surface Water gauge at Weeman Bridge | PU to make recommendation or response to Golder's input | Action referred to USGS, Chris Konrad for input | 4/02/03 |

NEW BUSINESS:

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|------------------|---|------------------------------------|----------|
| 17.2 | 8:00 | Website postings | PU to decide what materials and schedule for postings (decide how this affects 17.1) | Note decision for agenda Code 17.1 | 4/02/03 |
| 17.3 | 8:15 | Water for Towns | PU to read Chapter 10 Water Use in Phase II esp. 10.3.2, 10.4.6, 10.4.7, 10.4.8, 10.5.2, 10.6 Be prepared to identify issues and action options | Review Discussion write up | 4/02/03 |

| | | | | | |
|------|------|--|--|--|---------|
| 17.4 | 9:15 | Habitat Preservation and Enhancement | Read RCW 90.82.100, Wetted Perimeter Study and evaluate current ESA enforcement focus. Identify issues that affect water quantity and future basin planning, come up with action options | Deferred to next meeting | 4/02/03 |
| 17.5 | 7:00 | Should PU hire Chris Johnson to develop the interfacing between the Watershed Plan and County Planning | PU to discuss and respond | PU OK'd Chris Johnson to do work on Watershed/county interface | 4/02/03 |
| | 9:15 | Hot Topics | | | |
| | 9:30 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |

Note that we are now starting the Interim Session 3 where the topic of issue and possible action scooping will cover the following issues: **group domestic, water for future growth, water for towns, and habitat preservation and enhancement**. Bob Anderson suggested we move through all the issues and get a first cut on each topic. Then we can spend the rest of April and May developing our preferred actions.

Meeting Minutes April 2, 2003

Meeting called to order by Chairman Perrow at 1710

In attendance: Dick Ewing, John Umberger, Marty Williams, Connie Iten, John Stermon, Rick Karro, Gary Erickson, Jim Weed, Bob Freeman, Karla Christianson, Mike Price, Mark Love.

Minutes approval deferred until all members read.

Motion to approve previous minutes by John Umberger seconded by Freeman approved unanimously.

New Business

17.1 Discussion on Bernheisel request for information from planning unit.

Under rules: Motion by Weed to put Bernheisel or any other member of the public who requests on the Planning Unit mailing list. Seconded by Stormon. Amendment by Knott seconded by Christianson that official correspondence including review drafts, agendas, technical reports, that are normally circulated to Planning Unit members shall be posted in a timely fashion on the website by Golder. All members of the public with information requests will be referred to the website. Approved with Erickson abstaining.

17.5 Should Golder hire Chris Johnson?

Under Rules: Motion By Erickson seconded by Weed that we accept Chris Johnson as planning consultant for Golder to help with relationship between County planning effort and Watershed plan. Unanimously approved.

17.3 Water for Towns

Open discussion Mike Price (Town of Twisp) presented Twisp's water situation. (See Flip chart notes) No decisions made
See Discussion write up
Old Business

Surface Water gage at Weeman Bridge

Discussion outside of rules and agreement that the question will be referred to USGS

13.1 Forest Practices

Presentation by Knott on "Remaining Acres" with focus on inability to manage enough acres to affect water supply. Recommendation from Knott to use Wallowa County forest recommendations as a basis for recommendations (Work up discussion) with Dick

The discussion resulting from Greg's presentation lead to the observation that environmental requirements has lead to a hodge podge management scenario where the USFS can't really do anything to adaptively manage forests. Thus anything that the PU could recommend can't be done under present management scenarios. However, the PU should develop adaptive management recommendations so that these are documented should the Forest Management practices change. The most likely are the PU could have an affect is on Late Succession Reserves (LSR) since these are under review now. Also the most likely watershed that could benefit is the Twisp River.

Greg Knot recommended that the Forest Management recommendations made for the Wallowa National Forest be adopted as the preferred action.

This should be added to our Forest Management write up.

Hot Topics

Perrow requested clarification for the powers of the Planning Unit to obligate participating governments in the process under obligation. Planning Unit cannot obligate governments.

Ewing passed out Action Items for Work sessions. Planning Unit to review and discuss. Comments due by April 9

Ewing requested comments back on 2cfs reservation by April 9

Comments on Group Domestic and Water for Future Growth are due by next meeting (April 9)

**Comments on Fact Sheet due by Friday April 4th
Ewing will take comments until Monday April 7th**

Motion to Adjourn Williams second by Weed

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #22
May 7, 2003

Important Meetings coming up:
 Bob Anderson on May 14th
 Storage Meeting May 20, 5-7PM Greg's Office
 Kris Koffman: May 21 6:30 PM at PU meeting
 USGS Model Presentation Matt Ely May 28

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|-----------------------|--------|----------|
| 22.0 | 5:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification | PU Chair | | |
| | | Watershed Modeling Scenarios | PU to Define for USGS | | 5/14/03 |
| 21.2 | | Fee for Kris Kauffman's presentation | PU to Confirm | | 5/07/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|------------------------------------|---------------------|--------|----------|
| 21.1 | 5:15 | Continuation of 2 cfs discussion | Dick Ewing & Golder | | 5/07/03 |
| | | Bob Anderson Water for future uses | | | 5/14/03 |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

The USGS site for the Phase 1 report is: <http://water.usgs.gov/pubs/wri/wri014198/>. I also have three hardcopies left from the publication. Let me know if you want one of those copies.

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #22

May 7, 2003

Action Summary

Important Meetings coming up:
 Bob Anderson on May 14th
 Storage Meeting May 20, 5-7PM Greg's Office
 Kris Koffman: May 21 6:30 PM at PU meeting
 USGS Model Presentation Matt Ely May 28

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|--|--|--------------------|
| 22.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification | PU Chair | | |
| 21.2 | 6:15 | Watershed Modeling Scenarios Fee for Kris Kauffman's presentation | PU to Define for USGS PU to Confirm | Kauffman has up to \$500.00 Note questions in minutes | 5/14/03 5/07/03 |
| 22.1 | 6:30 | Statement of PU's general direction on issues for watershed plan | PU to discuss and form broad statement of intent | Note discussion summary in minutes | 5/07/03 |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |

MBPU MEETING AGENDA P-III # 22

Action Summary

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| 21.1 | 7:00 | Continuation of 2 cfs discussion | Dick Ewing & Golder | Note discussion summary | 5/07/03 |
| | | Bob Anderson Water for future uses | | | 5/14/03 |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

The USGS site for the Phase 1 report is: <http://water.usgs.gov/pubs/wri/wri014198/>. I also have three hardcopies left from the publication. Let me know if you want one of those copies.

MEETING MINUTES May 7, 2003
Meeting openead at 6:21 pm

Ron Perrow, Dick Ewing, Mark Love, Mike Gage, John Umberger, Marty Williams, John Stormon, Bob Freeman

Minutes for April 30, 2003 approved

Action Summary

Discussion on quorum; conclusion that 8 members is adequate

Hot Topics:

Introduction, how did incorrect items get in there?

This was the reference to p.6 of Plan Draft referring to RCW 90.82 and how the proposed plan is adopted. It was discovered that this section is correct as it was directly copied from the law.

Kris Kauffman questions:

1. What was the methodology used to set base flows?
--was ground water recharge from ditches/agricultural practices considered?
--What was your calculated return flow from the ditches.
2. Was there any dissent or was there agreement over the final form of the Methow Basin Plan, 1976?
3. What is the value of the 2 cfs reservation. What does it mean in the 1976 plan and how is water use from domestic exempt wells to be calculated towards the 2 cfs reservation?
4. How were the geographical bouderies for the seven reaches established?
5. What does the word "use" mean?
6. In giving priority I status to the existing agriculture water rights how was management of these water rights envisioned? For example if any of these rights are forfeited due to none use did the water forfeited return to instream flows or remain available for appropriation with a priority senior to base flows?
7. Was any management strategy for agriculture water rights envisioned to preserve agriculture in the basin?

Kris Kauffmann Has up to \$500.00 for his time.

22.1 General Direction of watershed planning

Action Summary

In general all the issues identified for the work sessions need to be addressed in the watershed plan. The PU members are particularly concerned that the contribution to groundwater and stream flows made by the irrigation canal systems be recognized and utilized in any water management program. The key point here is that if the original base flows were set at a time when more water was diverted and used through the canal system then a change in how the ditches operate due to efficiency improvements could lower instream water availability to meet current base flows.

The plan has to take into account that less water is used and diverted compared to past water practices even though more people are living in the Valley. For example many ditches have been abandoned, the largest industrial use the Twisp Mill has shut down, agriculture has declined, and agriculture application practices have become more efficient and some of the ditch systems have improve transportation efficiencies. Even though more people have moved into the Valley the domestic use is more than offset by these changes.

The 2 cfs reservation, what it means and how it is to be managed is a key component of the plan and must be resolved with this effort.

Habitat preservation and restoration must be tied in to the ground water recharge from ditches if the transportation loss is supporting higher stream flows in low water availability periods. Other habitat improvements such as channel structure similar to the Wolf Creek project need to be considered rather than impacting human uses of water to provided water sufficient enough to fill a degraded channel.

Screw ups such as lining ditches where they affect available water in the aquifer need to be pointed out. The plan needs to emphasize that the benefits of the canal system must be analyzed before changes are made and the cumulative benefits or affects of the proposed ditch improvement must be documented before these changes are made.

There needs to be a high priority on things that make a difference in the watershed plan.

Water storage needs to be a key component of the plan. This means using water during high water times to store water by the various means identified in our storage assessment. The plan should not be completed with out the USGS work on the groundwater/surface water interactions and the storage study.

The closed basin issue needs to be resolved in such a way that water can be reallocated if persons in a closed basin have not used their water. This can be initiated by people within that basin petitioning for their own adjudication. The PU needs to get the facts on each closed basin so people can make their own decisions and plan whether or not the basin can be opened in the future to further appropriation.

The first draft of the plan has to address issues that we have the information for with the provision that the other issues are left open so they can be dropped into the plan when the information becomes available.

2 cfs Discussion:

Next PU MEETING AGENDA P-III # 22

Action Summary

In general there is agreement that the PU wants to recommend that people live within the 2 cfs reservation for future water uses.

Want to separate indoor use from out door use in determining actual use. So 321 gpd/r multiplied by 25% may be the appropriate consumptive use.

The PU will wait for Bob's presentation on May 14th to make decision on Q6 & 7.

Meeting Adjourned at 9:15pm

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #23
May 14, 2003

Important Meetings coming up:
 Bob Anderson on May 14th
 Storage Meeting May 20, 5-7PM Greg's Office
 Kris Koffman: May 21 6:30 PM at PU meeting
 USGS Model Presentation Matt Ely May 28

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|-----------------------|--------|----------|
| 23.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification | PU Chair | | |
| 23.1 | 6:15 | Watershed Modeling Scenarios | PU to Define for USGS | | 5/14/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|--------------------------------|--------|----------|
| 23.2 | 6:45 | Water for Future Uses 1. Focus of management plan 2. Overview of proposed 2 cfs rule management action 3. Structure of plan document and highlights | Bob Anderson Golder Associates | | 5/14/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

The USGS site for the Phase 1 report is: <http://water.usgs.gov/pubs/wri/wri014198/> . I also have three hardcopies left from the publication. Let me know if you want one of those copies.

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #23

May 14, 2003

Action Summary

Important Meetings coming up:
 Bob Anderson on May 14th
 Storage Meeting May 20, 5-7PM Greg's Office
 Kris Koffman: May 21 6:30 PM at PU meeting
 USGS Model Presentation Matt Ely May 28

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|-----------------------|---|----------|
| 23.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification | PU Chair | PU adopted Press Release #3 | 5/14/03 |
| 23.1 | 6:15 | Watershed Modeling Scenarios | PU to Define for USGS | PU recommend Scenarios as noted in 23.1 below | 5/14/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------|
| 23.2 | 6:45 | Water for Future Uses 1. Focus of management plan 2. Overview of proposed 2 cfs rule management action 3. Structure of plan document and highlights | Bob Anderson Golder Associates | Summary noted below | 5/14/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

The USGS site for the Phase 1 report is: <http://water.usgs.gov/pubs/wri/wri014198/> . I also have three hardcopies left from the publication. Let me know if you want one of those copies.

Action Summary

MEETING MINUTES MAY 14, 2003

Attendance: Bob Freeman, Rick Karro, Connie Iten, Julie Dagnon, Derek Sandison, John Umberger, Karla Christianson Marty Williams, Mark Love, Gary Erickson

Hot Topics

Question and answer time with Derek

23.1 Add following:

- Forest Cover changes
- Affects of precipitation scenarios:
 - Items on habitat work session list
 - Affects of increasing storage per storage assessment.
- Natural River flow without ditches vs. with ditches and transportation affects
- USGS recommendations for us to consider
- Look at different flow events: range of variability analysis

23.2 Bob Anderson presentation:

PU's suggested priorities:
2 cfs define consumptive use moving excess from 2 cfs reservation to be moved down to reaches that need it.

Storage

Forest management practices

Deal with real water; deal with excess claims needs to be another way besides adjudication.

Where do we stand realistically in making real change on water availability for streams or fish?

Water for Towns

Decrease in water use needs to be evaluated and affects on available groundwater

Preserving Agriculture water rights and being able to get uninterrupted water right if a water right is forfeited it goes to a reserve so someone else can apply for the water. How to get transportation loss recognized as a beneficial use associated with ditch use.

Golder's recommendations:

Need a public outreach

Take control locally of 2 cfs rule 10,135 acre-feet

MLL-PU MEETING AGENDA P-III # 23

Action Summary

Water exchange program-translate irrigation into real water without adjudication

Technical fixes

Storage

Patterson Lake

Pearygin Lake

10A on farm Storage sites

Groundwater

Drought Management Plan

Climate change

Hydrologic variability

Regulatory guidance.... follow hydrograph

Policies and strategies:

Promote water reserve

Promote water trust it's a way to preserve agriculture water

Promote environmental uses of water

Promote water management and mitigation approaches that area responsive to hydrologic variability

Promote approaches that integrate hydrology habitat human factors

Promote integrated management of irrigation systems

Laws and ordinances to change

Revise WAC 173-548;in reference to 2 cfs rule

Water certificates as part of planning process

PU needs to come up with a monitoring program, not necessarily using meters; this will allow creating incentives to good water management.

Concurrency and Funding:

Need to find who will support our recommendations and where is the money coming from

Need to start with county staff and departments then go to agencies.

Storage meeting on 20th canceled, meeting in two weeks

Bob Anderson needs following response from PU

Action Summary

Bob will send e-mail requesting items PU needs to respond to

Hot Topics:

Twin Lakes Aquifer its protection

Loss of recharge to aquifer due to lining of ditches is not considered in improving ditch transportation efficiencies. How did DOE not protect this aquifer regardless of the source of that recharge as noted in the law regarding preserving aquifers?

Bob Presented Water Reserve. It was also given as a handout to PU

DECISIONS for PU by next meeting:

- 1) Evaluate the issues to make sure your concerns are covered.
- 2) Are we willing to accept the course that Bob has outlined for us?

Bob to provide details funding monitoring details, Cost suggestions for water exchange board.

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #24
May 21, 2003

Important Meetings coming up:

Kris Kauffman: May 21 6:30 PM at PU meeting
 USGS Model Presentation Matt Ely May 28

NOTE: Storage Meeting canceled

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|-------------|-------------|---|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| 23.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification | PU Chair | PU adopted Press Release #3 | 5/14/03 |
| 23.2 | 6:15 | Bob Anderson's Plan Proposal: Water for Future Uses 1. Focus of management plan 2. Overview of proposed 2 cfs rule management action 3. Structure of plan document and highlights | PU needs to make the following decisions: 1) To Amend current plan outline to include specific watershed plan section 2) Accept the selection of issues to focus on for the plan 3) Accept the 4 Plan Action Categories | PU | 5/21/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #24

May 21, 2003

Action Summary

Important Meetings coming up:

Kris Kauffman: May 21 6:30 PM at PU meeting

USGS Model Presentation Matt Ely May 28

NOTE: Storage Meeting canceled

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|--|-----------------------------|----------|
| 23.0 | 6:00 | <u>Meeting Opening:</u> Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification | PU Chair | PU adopted Press Release #3 | 5/14/03 |
| 23.2 | 6:15 | Bob Anderson's Plan Proposal: Water for Future Uses 1. Focus of management plan 2. Overview of proposed 2 cfs rule management action 3. Structure of plan document and highlights | PU needs to make the following decisions: 1) To Amend current plan outline to include specific watershed plan section 2) Accept the selection of issues to focus on for the plan 3) Accept the 4 Plan Action Categories | PU | 5/21/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

MEETING MINUTES MAY 21, 2003

Meeting called to order by Chairman Perrow at 1805

Attendance: Connie Iten, John Umberger, Marty Williams, Mark Love, Ray Campbell, Ron Perrow, Mike Gage, Dick Ewing, Guest: Kris Kauffman

Motion by Iten to accept minutes as amended. 2nd by Umberger. Added question to Ecology from Perrow as to why Ecology can violate RCW 90.44.400 in the Twin Lakes area.

Presentation by Kris Kauffman P.E. on background and development of base flows in the Methow. Mr. Kauffman was working for the DOE at the time when Methow Basin base flows were developed. He responded to a list of written questions submitted by the PU prior to his presentation (see attached)

23.2 Bob Anderson's Plan Proposal: Water for Future Uses

Open discussion of Plan outline revisions.

Motion by Iten 2nd by Erickson to Accept Proposed Plan revisions as proposed by Golder Adopted unanimously

Motion by Iten 2nd by Erickson to Accept 4 Plan Action categories as proposed by Golder Adopted unanimously

Motion by Gage 2nd by Erickson that under recommended technical solutions of Golders proposal that #1 be a **Canal Management Program**. Motion adopted unanimously

Motion by Gage 2nd by Erickson under recommended technical solutions of Golders proposal that #1 be a **Canal Management Program**. Motion adopted unanimously

Motion by Knott 2nd by Erickson that we will recommend to Ecology that the administration of the 2 c.f.s be handed to a local administrative group, that the definition of water subject to the 2 c.f.s reservation be expanded to include group domestic and municipal water in addition to the present single family domestic and stockwatering, and that actual use for these 4 categories will be verified by a monitoring system to be developed by the Planning Unit at a future time. Motion adopted unanimously.

Motion by Umberger 2nd by Erickson that we do not consider water certificates as part of the planning process. Motion adopted unanimously

Hot Topics:

Storage Meeting Reschedule and Update.

Group agreement that the Storage Committee Meeting will be rescheduled to Wednesday June 4 at 1:00 PM at the Twisp Conference Room

Motion to adjourn adopted unanimously

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #25
May 28, 2003

Important Meetings coming up:
 USGS Model Presentation Matt Ely, May 28
 Storage Meeting: June 4th 1:00pm Twisp Conference Room

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

| OLD BUSINESS | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|--|--|---------------|-----------------|
| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
| 23.0 | 6:00 | <u>Meeting Opening:</u> Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification | PU Chair | | |
| 23.2 | 6:15 | Plan Outline evaluation: Revisit Water Exchange program | PU needs to re-evaluate use change categories | PU | 5/28/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| NEW BUSINESS | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|---------------------|--------|----------|
| 25.1 | 8:00 | Project Manager Volunteers for various project categories in Plan | PU to help Identify | | 5/28/03 |
| 25.2 | 8:15 | Who will manage water reserve | PU To clarify | | 5/28/03 |
| | 8:30 | Press Release timing/Public Communication | PU to make proposal | | 5/28/03 |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| 25.3 | 7:00 | USGS Model Presentation | | | 5/28/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #25

May 28, 2003

Important Meetings coming up:
 USGS Model Presentation Matt Ely, June 11, 2003
 Storage Meeting: June 4th 1:00pm Twisp Conference Room

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|--|--------|----------|
| 23.0 | 6:00 | <u>Meeting Opening:</u> Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification | PU Chair | | |
| 23.2 | 6:15 | Plan Outline evaluation: Revisit Water Exchange program | PU needs to re-evaluate use change categories | PU | 5/28/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|----------|
| 25.3 | 7:15 | USGS Model Tutorial Sign UP | Individual members to sign up | | 5/28/03 |
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #25

May 28, 2003

Action Summary

Important Meetings coming up:
 USGS Model Presentation Matt Ely, June 11, 2003
 Storage Meeting: June 4th 1:00pm Twisp Conference Room

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|--|--|----------|
| 23.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification | PU Chair | | |
| 23.2 | 6:15 | Plan Outline evaluation: Revisit Water Exchange program | PU needs to re-evaluate use change categories | PU recommended motion; PU to review for completeness Note red text | 6/4/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|----------|
| 25.3 | 7:15 | USGS Model Tutorial Sign UP | Individual members to sign up | Have 4 for tutorial | 5/28/03 |
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics | | | |

| | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--|--|
| 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | |
| | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|---------------------|--------|----------|
| 25.1 | 7:30 | Project Manager Volunteers for various project categories in Plan | PU to help Identify | | 6/04/03 |
| 25.2 | 8:00 | Who will manage water reserve | PU To clarify | | 6/04/03 |
| | 8:30 | Press Release timing/Public Communication | PU to make proposal | | 6/04/03 |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | | USGS Model Presentation | Matt Ely | | 6/11/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

MEETING MINUTES MAY 28, 2003

Meeting called to order by Chairman Perrow at 1805

Attendance: Connie Iten, John Umberger, Marty Williams, Ray Campbell, Ron Perrow, Mike Gage, Dick Ewing, Mark Love, Gary Erickson, Karla Christenson, Jim (the Quitter) Weed, Derek Sandison.

Motion by Iten to accept minutes as amended. 2nd by Umberger. Clarified motion by Umberger on ag water. Changed attendee list to include Weed, Erickson, and Christenson, deleted redundant motion by Gage.

23.2 Golder Plan Outline Proposal: Water for Future Uses

Open discussion of Plan outline revisions including proposals for use of canal system to enhance groundwater during peak flows for use as "new water" for re appropriation as a new storage right, conversion of existing transportation water from canals to other beneficial uses other than those listed in the original claim or right. Chairman Perrow suggested that Golder flesh out a canal management plan and groundwater storage plan in the near future that includes "sideboards" or suggested practices that could be submitted to Ecology as a "strawman". Sandison suggested that it would be best if Ecology could review the strawman sooner rather than later and respond before the Planning Unit headed down a dead end.

A review of the Water Reserve chart produced several changes that emphasized the separation of the 2 c.f.s. reserve from the Methow Community Water Reserve and the relationship of the Water Reserve to the County Planning Office. Another discussion surrounded the inclusion of unspecified "other beneficial uses" under the 2 c.f.s. rule.

Under Rules: Motion by Erickson 2nd by Weed to include in the 2 c.f.s reservation the following water uses: single family domestic, stock-water, non-commercial lawn or garden up to 1/2 acre, industrial /commercial, group domestic, and municipal uses including commercial/industrial uses. These uses are limited to 5000 gallons/day or less. However, this quantity restriction shall not apply to Group A Community or municipal uses.

In addition, uses for industrial/commercial purposes including small commercial farms will be encouraged to obtain existing agricultural water

Motion by Karro 2nd by Gage to table above motion pending further consideration and distribution to voted upon at the next meeting.

Hot Topics:

MBPU MEETING AGENDA P-III # 25

May 28, 2003 Action Summary

4

Sandison responded to Perrow's question on RCW 90.54.140 . The answer was that Bob Barwin would discuss the issue directly with Ron.

Wetted Perimeter and Stream Gauging studies. Comments have now closed

Weed passed on the information that the Commissioners did not want to take on the proposed Methow Community Water Reserve Board as a County function. They felt that these tasks should be kept as local as possible.

Marty Williams questioned how we are handling Stormon's comments. Answer is that his comments are being incorporated as footnotes.

Computer USGS modeling has been postponed to the 11th meeting of the Planning Unit. Tutorial will be conducted at the Twisp Forest Service office on the 10th in the morning at 0800 and in an evening session at 1800.

Motion to adjourn by Weed 2nd by Knott adopted unanimously.

Document Title: **HABITAT RESTORATION AND PRESERVATION**

Corrected May 1, 2003

Agenda #: 17.4

Review Due Date: **May 7, 2003**

Author: Dick Ewing

1
2
3 Habitat preservation and enhancement can have an important contribution to
4 water quantity. Flows in the Methow River are regularly below the levels of the base
5 flows established in the 1976 Methow Basin Plan. Fisheries agencies have suggested that
6 health fish populations may need even higher flows than the established base flows.
7 Habitat mitigation projects may be an option for improving fish populations without
8 further limiting out of stream water uses.

9 In this regard the Planning Unit has initiated a wetted perimeter study to assess
10 how much additional stream flow is needed to provide significant improvements in
11 stream habitat. This type of input is important if it is understood that unless on farm and
12 canal transportation efficiency improvements make a measurable improvement in habitat
13 they may not be worth the investment. The Planning Unit would like to know the results
14 of the wetted perimeter study to assist with evaluations on how new and future water uses
15 will affect stream flows in affected reaches.

16 Also the USGS model is supposed to provide a tool to demonstrate affects of
17 water uses on natural stream hydrographs. The PU would like to have various scenarios
18 run on the computer for evaluating positive or negative impacts for the following:

- 19 1. Transportation loss from ditches
- 20 2. Affects of diversion amounts on stream flows
- 21 3. Affects of domestic water use
- 22 4. Affects of adding another 2 cfs reservation for towns and group A domestic
23 and industrial/commercial uses.

24 Two other habitat factors are important to consider: shorelines and stream bed
25 structure. Shorelines are important in the sense that streams need room to move within
26 their flood plains. This will require a Channel Migration Zone Assessment on the various
27 streams of the Methow. Stream movement is viewed as important in maintaining quality
28 habitat and creating side channels where water storage can occur during flooding and
29 provide seasonal fish habitat.

30 Stream structure is important when it is understood that many stream were
31 widened and flattened in their lower reaches to promote quick exit of water during flood
32 stages. The down side is that during low flows the stream channel is dispersed limiting
33 passage and rearing habitat. However, if channels are deepened and cascading step pools
34 are created, passage, spawning and rearing habitat can be created that is effective and
35 available for fish at much lower flows. Such restoration and enhancement actions may
36 allow higher water use up stream while providing quality habitat.

37 Other mitigation measures suggested are:

- 38 1. Storage
- 39 2. Water reuse from town sewer systems
- 40 3. The Basin should get credit for measures already taken under ESA
41 such as screen replacement, passage barrier removal and water use
42 changes and efficiencies.
- 43 4. The agencies both federal and state need to present mitigation
44 measures they have developed that are successful

Document Title: **HABITAT RESTORATION AND PRESERVATION**

Corrected May 1, 2003

Agenda #: 17.4

Review Due Date: **May 7, 2003**

Author: Dick Ewing

- 1
 - 2
 - 3
 - 4
 - 5
 - 6
 - 7
 - 8
 - 9
 - 10
 - 11
 - 12
 - 13
- a. Sub-basin planning process
 - b. Other sources that are unbiased
 - c. What other basins are doing which are outside of the sub-basin process.
- A number of barriers were cited to taking this approach to off-set new water for future uses:
- Funding
 - Agency obligation
 - Permitting
 - Feasibility
 - Public Acceptance

SUMMARY OF 2 CFS DISCUSSION

April 30, 2003

Review Due Date: May 7, 2003

Dick Ewing

Initial discussion by Ron Perrow offered a domestic indoor use of 429 gpd/residence with a 85% return factor and 68 gpd/res consumptive use.

John Stormon pointed out that 2 cfs is an instantaneous value. If at any time peak use exceeds 2 cfs, the 2 cfs reservation has been exceeded.

Location of return is unknown. Transportation losses should mitigate for domestic uses.

John Stormon pointed out that domestic use does include outdoor use. If we choose to remove or separate outdoor use from indoor use, the peak will go down.

If we want to spend excess 2 cfs on other uses, then we have a problem in determining extent of use unless exempt wells are metered.

Metering however will define the water right because that is what has been put to beneficial use. What about when a home changes ownership and they want to use more water because of larger family and more outdoor interests.

Options to consider from discussion:

1. Eliminate 2 cfs reservation its insignificant and proposed storage and ground water recharge from canals will support baseflows.
2. Determine actual water used according to RCW 90.82
3. Establish a return factor
4. Do we want decisions to apply to future uses only?
5. What or how do we want to apply domestic water use to the 2 cfs reservation?
6. Do we want meters?
7. Do we want to use water left over in 2 cfs reservation for other uses?

Question 2 was answered by accepting 600 gpd/residence as the average annual use. This figure has no return factor. A peak monthly use of 1,200 gpd was also adopted.

Question 3: The return factor established is 75%

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #30

July 2, 2003

Action Summary

Be Prepared to Receive Bob Anderson's presentation on draft plan.

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|----------------|---------------------------|----------|
| 30.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification | PU Chair | | |
| 30.1 | 8:00 | House keeping items | PU Chair | Note follow up in minutes | 7/2/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------------------|---|---|----------|
| 29.1 | 8:30 | Voting Policy | PU to discuss PU membership and voting standing | Proposed policy statement emailed out Motion to amend noted below | 7/2/03 |
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-------------------------|----------------|--|----------|
| 30.2 | 6:30 | Draft Plan Presentation | Bob Anderson | PU to review key policy materials by next PU meeting | 7/2/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT MEETING MINUTESW

JULY 2, 2003

ATTENDANCE:

Rick Karro, John Stormon Julie Dagnon, John Umberger, Mike Gage, Dick Ewing,, Ron Perrow, Mark Love, Bunny Morgan, Bob Freeman, Marty Williams, Bob Anderson, Golder, Asso., Vern Donnett, Lee Bernheisel

30.1 House keeping items

Voting: Motion: PU Members should be present 3 out of 4 meetings the primary or alternate qualifies as being present. Criteria becomes effective 3 meetings from acceptance of rule. Second by Mark Love, John Umberger made the motion

Motion will be referred to initiating governments for review and confirmation of rule

All in favor with John Stormon abstaining

Plan Presentation by Bob Anderson

Schedule:

- 7/30-8/6 Tune up Plan
- 8/20 2nd Draft Plan
- 9/10 Public Presentation SEPA Review
- 10/10 Final Public Comment
- 10/29 To County

Review Process:

1. All Comments to go to Dick
 2. Golder to get unaltered comments
 3. PU will meet to reconcile conflicting comments and decide what will go into the plan
- House Bill 1336 covers implementation bill.

The June 26th meeting to develop model run scenarios occurred with Chris Konrad happy with the input. Forest cover issues can be addressed as Chris has worked out the parameters to do this with the model.

Dick Ewing presented the summary verbally to Bob Anderson on PU's position on preserving agriculture water rights and the associated groundwater recharge from canals.

Hot Topics:

Validity of the model was discussed. Forest cover can be dialed in according to Chris Konrad
Model can be used in the Forest cover improvements and Canal Management plans in the present draft plan, and recommendations for changing law to support canal management actions
The conclusion regarding the letter supporting the USGS to incorporate the groundwater portion is that Ron will draft letter as previously proposed.

MLLJ MEETING AGENDA P-III # 30
July 2, 2003

Meeting adjourned at 8:30

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #31
July 9, 2003

Be Prepared to Comment on the draft plan. Particular attention will be paid to sections 6,7,8 & 9. The goal is to document any key problems with concepts intent and solutions proposed in these sections. Equal time will be give to each section so we have a clear response to give to Bob Anderson. Please write out all of you comments on these sections so I can organize them and have them ready for the next meeting
COMMENTS DUE 7/8/03

THIS MEETING WILL BE DEVOTED TO REVIEWING THE DRAFT PLAN.

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|----------------|--------|----------|
| 31.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification | PU Chair | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #31

July 9, 2003

Action Summary

Be Prepared to Comment on the draft plan. Particular attention will be paid to sections 6,7,8 & 9. The goal is to document any key problems with concepts intent and solutions proposed in these sections. Equal time will be give to each section so we have a clear response to give to Bob Anderson. Please write out all of you comments on these sections so I can organize them and have them ready for the next meeting
COMMENTS DUE 7/8/03

THIS MEETING WILL BE DEVOTED TO REVIEWING THE DRAFT PLAN.
DROP DEAD DEADLINE FOR COMMENTS ON DRAFT PLAN IS AUGUST 6, 2003. They must be in writing and submitted to Dick Ewing at fawn@mymethow.com

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|-------------|-------------|--|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 31.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification | PU Chair | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|-------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| | | | | | |

M. J MEETING AGENDA P-III # 31
 July 9, 2003 Action Summary

| | | | | | |
|--|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-------------------|---|---|----------|
| 30.2 | 6:30 | Draft Plan Review | PU to evaluate Section 6,7,8,9 and provide comments | PU completed initial input on Section 6.1-6.4 | 7/9/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT MEETING MINUTES

July 9, 2003

Attendance; Ron Perrow, Gary Erickson, Rick Karro, Bob Freeman, John Stormon Bunny Morgan Julie Dagnon, Mark Love, Marty Williams, John Umberger, Mike Gage, Karla Christianson, Vern Donnett.

SUMMARY PROCEDURE FOR SUBMITTING COMMENTS:

- 1) Comments go to Dick

- 2) Dick Forwards to all members the corrections
- 3) Page number, line number and section number are to be sent with each comment so whole text is not passed around.
Example: P.9 Section 2, line 12-24: followed with correction or comment.
- 4) Dick to organize comments. Comments that address same topic will be organized together.

Hot Topics:

Rule Revision process:

Expedited rule revision, can be part of SEPA Public hearing process
John will investigate to see if this can be done. PU liked the idea so far.

Drop Dead Due date for comments is Aug 6.

Meeting adjourned at 9:00 PM

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #3
July 16, 2003

Please write out all of you comments on sections 6.4 and all of 7 so I can organize them and have them ready for the next meeting
COMMENTS DUE 7/15/03
 Make sure you e-mail them to Dick Ewing
fawn@mymethow.com

THIS MEETING WILL BE DEVOTED TO REVIEWING THE DRAFT PLAN.

DROP DEAD DEADLINE FOR COMMENTS ON DRAFT PLAN ARE DUE AUGUST 6, 2003. They must be in writing and submitted to Dick Ewing at fawn@mymethow.com

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|----------------|--------|----------|
| 31.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification | PU Chair | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #3

July 16, 2003

Action Summary

Please write out all of you comments on sections 6.4 and all of 7 so I can organize them and have them ready for the next meeting
COMMENTS DUE 7/15/03
 Make sure you e-mail them to Dick Ewing
fawn@mymethow.com

THIS MEETING WILL BE DEVOTED TO REVIEWING THE DRAFT PLAN.

DROP DEAD DEADLINE FOR COMMENTS ON DRAFT PLAN ARE DUE AUGUST 6, 2003. They must be in writing and submitted to Dick Ewing at fawn@mymethow.com

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|----------------|--------|----------|
| 31.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification | PU Chair | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-------------------|--|---------------------|----------|
| 30.2 | 6:30 | Draft Plan Review | PU to evaluate Section 6.4, and 7 and provide comments | Completed Section 7 | 7/16/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

**Methow Basin Planning Unit
 Meeting Notes for July 16, 2003**

Meeting called to order by Ron Perrow at 6:20

In attendance, Bob Freeman, Rick Karro, Connie Iten, Marty Williams, John Umburger, John Stormon, Dick Ewing, Ron Perrow, Mike Gage, Greg Knott, Mark Love, Pat Christenson (Alternate for Karla), Bunny Morgan, Vern Donnet.

July 9 minutes approved. Motion to approve by Karro 2nd by Gage.

Old Business

28.1 Discussion of Bob Freeman's 2 c.f.s. proposal

Bob reported that he has been working with the County and Ecology to produce a final version of the monitoring proposal. He expects a final product in about two weeks.

Review of July 9 comments: Perrow requested list of comments be corrected to include his comment that the terms "loss, leakage, waste," in reference to canal ground water recharge be globally replaced in the draft by more value neutral terms.

New Business

Discussion of process for using a checklist to record attendance and votes on motions. Discussion centered around whether one member can cast more than one vote if they represent one group.

Action Item: Ewing will search minutes for previous discussions on this subject to refresh collective memories.

Discussion of Section 6 Public Participation of draft plan moderated by Ewing

Motion 1 by Donnet 2nd by Morgan to have Ewing and Knott develop an outreach plan by July 30, to contact subwatershed groups that have formed since the inception of the Planning Unit to obtain data and facilitate communication between the Planning Unit and these groups. Motion approved unanimously.

See attached flipchart notes

Discussion. Sections 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, needs to be fleshed out to come more in line with issues stated in 2.3.1. Specifically mentioned so far are Sections C, D, and J. These are not addressed at all. After further comparison of the above sections with the issues in Section 2.3.1 by the Planning Unit, other additions may be proposed.

Motion 2 by Knott 2nd by Gage that Section 7.5.3 and 7.6 be struck.

Calendar

- July 21 1800 at the Bureau of Reclamation Donnet will lead rewrite of 4.1 to be presented at a Chapter 4.1 Task Group meeting
- July 21, 1800 meeting at Bureau of Reclamation Perrow will lead a 6.2 Canal Management/Canal Recharge Task Group at 1800 at 1.
- July 24 1800 at Bureau of Reclamation will be a followup meeting to clean up unfinished items.

Hot Topics

Ewing Lack of Timely input from PU

No written comments received to date. Part of the solution will be the meetings set up for separate sections.

Stormon Rule Revision 173-548 Rule Revision

Stormon is continuing to try to facilitate rule revisions which would follow an approved Methow Watershed Plan. We should combine public comment and public input phases onto parallel tracks and the planning unit's SEPA announcement should include the announcement that Ecology will revise WAC 173-548 as necessary to implement state obligations agreed to in the final plan.

Gage: Getting Members informed

Process for informing members that don't have a computer needs to be implemented more effectively

Williams: Funding Review? Stormon responded there is no current contract but County spending is authorized to continue spending until a contract is produced by the County. County will produce

Donnet: Section 4 See Calendar Donnet will lead

*Motion by Williams 2nd by Knott to adjourn.
Approved unanimously*

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #32
July 23, 2003

JULY 21 PLAN REWRITE MEETING:
 6:00 pm at Greg Knott's BOR Office.

July 21 1800 at the Bureau of Reclamation Donnet will lead rewrite of 4.1 to be presented at a Chapter 4.1 Task Group meeting
 July 21, 1800 meeting at Bureau of Reclamation Perrow will lead a 6.2 Canal Management/Canal Recharge Task Group

THIS MEETING WILL BE DEVOTED TO REVIEWING THE DRAFT PLAN. Section 8& 9

JULY 24 PLAN REWRITE MEETING:
 6:00 PM at Greg Knott's BOR Office
Sections will be determined based on 21 and 25 meeting progress

DROP DEAD DEADLINE FOR COMMENTS ON DRAFT PLAN ARE DUE AUGUST 6, 2003. They must be in writing and submitted to Dick Ewing at fawn@mymethow.com

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|----------------------|--------|----------|
| 32.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification | PU Chair | | |
| 31.3 | 6:15 | Voting update on Initiating Gov. Response | PU | | 7/25/03 |
| 31.4 | 6:30 | Mike Gage's vote(s) | PU | | 7/25/03 |
| 31.5 | 6:45 | PU Outreach to other Organizations/Waterusers | Dick Ewing to report | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | | | | | |

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #32

July 23, 2003

Acton Summary

THIS MEETING WILL BE DEVOTED TO REVIEWING THE DRAFT PLAN. Section 8 & 9

JULY 24 PLAN REWRITE MEETING:
6:00 PM at Greg Knott's BOR Office
Sections will be determined based on 21 and 25 meeting progress

DROP DEAD DEADLINE FOR COMMENTS ON DRAFT PLAN ARE DUE AUGUST 6, 2003. They must be in writing and submitted to Dick Ewing at fawn@mymethow.com

JULY 21 PLAN REWRITE MEETING:
 6:00 pm at Greg Knott's BOR Office.

July 21 1800 at the Bureau of Reclamation Donnet will lead rewrite of 4.1 to be presented at a Chapter 4.1 Task Group meeting

July 21, 1800 meeting at Bureau of Reclamation Perrow will lead a 6.2 Canal Management/Canal Recharge Task Group

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|----------------------|--------|----------|
| 32.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification | PU Chair | | |
| 31.3 | 6:15 | Voting update on Initiating Gov. Response | PU | | 7/23/03 |
| 31.4 | 6:30 | Mike Gage's vote(s) | PU | | 7/23/03 |
| 31.5 | 6:45 | PU Outreach to other Organizations/Waterusers | Dick Ewing to report | | 7/23/03 |
| | 7:00 | Update on Grant Revision for 2 nd half of Phase III | Julie Dagnon | | 7/23/03 |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-------------------|--|--------|----------|
| 30.2 | 7:15 | Draft Plan Review | PU to evaluate Sections 8 and 9 and provide comments | | 7/23/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

**Methow Basin Planning Unit
 Meeting Notes for July 23, 2003**

ML - J MEETING AGENDA P-III # 32

July 23, 2003 Action Summary

3

Meeting called to order by Ron Perrow at 6:25

In attendance, Bob Freeman, Rick Karro, Connie Iten, Marty Williams, John Umburger, John Stormon, Dick Ewing, Ron Perrow, Mike Gage, Greg Knott, Mark Love, Karla Christenson.

July 16 minutes approval deferred to next meeting.

Old Business

31.3 Voting update on Initiating Gov.

Ewing reported past minutes were unclear as to Mike Gage's ability to have two votes and that it appeared that the Federal representative, Greg Knott was never officially given a vote.

31.4 Mike Gage's votes Long discussion that resulted in a decision by Mike that he will attempt to find an alternate for him with one of the groups he represents. Greg Knott will seek appointment as an alternate representative of the Chewuch to rectify the issue of a government representative casting a vote.

31.5 PU Outreach to other Organizations/Waterusers

Ewing passed out copies of Organization Outreach Plan developed with Knott. Dick will continue outreach directly with these groups to seek data and brief them on Planning Unit progress.

Update on Grant Revision for 2nd half of Phase III

A pre-authorization is currently covering our expenditures. The DOE and Okanogan County are working together to write the appropriate contract. At present there appears to be no contract between Okanogan County and Golder. Until something is worked out, Golder cannot continue, so the Planning Unit is stalled until this contract is completed. Ewing assigned to write a letter to legislators documenting issue.

New Business

Task Group Reports

Report from Perrow that his Section 6.2 Task Group will meet Thursday and will report to the Planning Unit on their findings at the next meeting on July 30, 2003

Gage reported that he had completed his task of rewriting Section 1. He presented his report and summarized his changes.

Motion #1 by Gage second by Erickson that for final plan approval if the planning unit cannot reach consensus among the voting members the plan can be accepted by consensus of the governing entities (Okanogan County, Methow Valley Irrigation District, Town of Twisp), and a majority vote of the remaining members.

Move to Amend Motion #1 by Erickson 2nd by Gage to insert the following language at the beginning of Motion #1 "because "units of government" is not precisely defined in R.C.W. 90.82 for the purposes of the Methow Basin Planning Unit we define them as "initiating governments" (Town of Twisp, Methow Valley Irrigation District, Okanogan County). Passed with Town of Winthrop and Ecology abstaining Passed with Town of Winthrop abstaining and Ecology voted no.

Ecology will seek an Attorney General's opinion on this motion

Motion by Love to accept Gages changes to Section 1 as amended. Passed with Ecology abstaining

Summary report by Donnet on progress of Section 4 Task Group. General feeling of the task group was that much of Section 4 could either be deleted or moved to Section 3. Donnet agreed to continue his work and report next meeting for final approval.

Calendar

July 24' 1800 at Bureau of Reclamation will be a Section 8&9 plus Freeman's work followup meeting led by Perrow to clean up unfinished items.

Next meeting July 30, 2003.

July 29th at 0800 at Okanogan County Health Department to discuss details of 2 c.f.s. portion of Plan. Primary attendees are Freeman and Stormon but rest of Planning Unit is welcome

Hot Topics

M U MEETING AGENDA P-III # 32
July 23, 2003 Action Summary

Storage Revisions: Knott suggested Ewing work with Umberger to write up his work with PUD on pumping/generation for perched reservoirs. Knott reported that Reclamation is reviewing Golder's work and will have responses by the end of the month.

Motion by Williams 2nd by Knott to adjourn.
Approved unanimously.

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #33
July 30, 2003

JULY 28 PLAN REWRITE MEETING:
 6:00 pm at Greg Knott's BOR Office.
 Focus will be on Chapters 8&9
Rewrites Due:
 Donnet will lead rewrite of 4.1 to be presented at a
 Chapter 4.1 Task Group meeting
 Perrow will lead a 6.2 Canal Management/Canal
 Recharge Task Group

Plan to set aside Thursday July 31 for further Draft Reviews
 DROP DEAD DEADLINE FOR COMMENTS ON DRAFT PLAN ARE
 DUE AUGUST 6, 2003. They must be in writing and submitted to Dick
 Ewing at fawn@mymethow.com

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|----------------|--------|----------|
| 32.0 | 6:00 | <u>Meeting Opening:</u> Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification | PU Chair | | |
| 31.3 | 6:15 | Voting update on Initiating Gov. Response | PU | | 7/30/03 |
| | 7:00 | Update on Grant Revision for 2 nd half of Phase III | Julie Dagnon | | 7/30/03 |
| | | Review Draft Letter to Legislature requesting extension | PU | | 7/30/03 |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #34
August 6, 2003

Plan to set aside Thursday July 31 for further Draft Reviews

DROP DEAD DEADLINE FOR COMMENTS ON DRAFT PLAN ARE DUE AUGUST 6, 2003. They must be in writing and submitted to Dick Ewing at fawn@mymethow.com

AUGUST 4 PLAN REWRITE MEETING:
 6:00 pm at Greg Knott's BOR Office.
 Focus will be on Chapters 8&9
Rewrites Due:
 Donnet will lead rewrite of 4.1 to be presented at a Chapter 4.1 Task Group meeting
 Perrow will lead a 6.2 Canal Management/Canal Recharge Task Group

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|---|--------|----------|
| 34.0 | 6:00 | <u>Meeting Opening:</u> Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification: Storage Report: Phase II corrections Habitat and Closed Basins Process for PU alternate memberships Elbow Coulee IFIM Limiting Factors | PU Chair Schedule after draft correction section | | 8/6/03 |

MLL J MEETING AGENDA P-III # 32
 July 30, 2003 Action Summary

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Executive Summary Ecology Response to Plan Approval Process. | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics-Draft review meetings | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-------------------|---|--------|----------|
| 30.2 | 6:15 | Draft Plan Review | PU to evaluate remaining sections and determine process from here | | 8/6/03 |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #33

July 30, 2003

Action Summary

JULY 28 PLAN REWRITE MEETING:
 6:00 pm at Greg Knott's BOR Office.
 Focus will be on Chapters 8&9
Rewrites Due:
 Donnet will lead rewrite of 4.1 to be presented at a
 Chapter 4.1 Task Group meeting
 Perrow will lead a 6.2 Canal Management/Canal
 Recharge Task Group

Plan to set aside Thursday July 31 for further Draft Reviews
 DROP DEAD DEADLINE FOR COMMENTS ON DRAFT PLAN ARE
 DUE AUGUST 6, 2003. They must be in writing and submitted to Dick
 Ewing at fawn@mymethow.com

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|----------------|---|----------|
| 33.0 | 6:00 | <u>Meeting Opening:</u> Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification | PU Chair | | |
| 31.3 | 6:15 | Voting update on Initiating Gov. Response | PU | Voting procedure is in effect | 7/30/03 |
| 32.1 | 7:00 | Update on Grant Revision for 2 nd half of Phase III | Julie Dagnon | Work Order presented and discussed. Dick Ewing to correct document and send to PU members and Golder | 7/30/03 |
| 33.1 | 7:30 | Review Draft Letter to Legislature requesting extension | PU | Dick to rewrite and sent based upon approval to legislature as a record and to | 7/30/03 |

ML - J MEETING AGENDA P-III # 32
 July 30, 2003 Action Summary

| | | | | | | |
|------|------|--|--|------------------------|---|---------|
| | | | | | prepare them to be expect a potential extension request | |
| 23.2 | 8:00 | 2 cfs reservation | | Bob Freeman to present | PU accepted. | 7/30/03 |
| 30.3 | | Letter to State and Federal Agencies and legislature to support USGS model in Methow | | Ron Perrow | Dick Ewing will compile letter | 7/30/03 |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics-Draft review meetings | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-------------------|--|------------------|----------|
| 30.2 | 7:15 | Draft Plan Review | PU to evaluate Sections 2 and 3 provide comments | Not Accomplished | 7/30/03 |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Methow Basin Planning Unit Meeting Notes for July 30, 2003

Meeting called to order by Ron Perrow at 6:15

In attendance, Bob Freeman, Connie Iften, Marty Williams, John Umburger, John Stormon, Dick Ewing, Ron Perrow, Mike Gage, Greg Knott, Vern Donnet, Mark Love.

July 16 minutes approved Motion by Freeman 2nd by Gage to approve July 16 minutes as amended on page 4 "at 1" deleted. Under New Business. It should read "whether one person representing two groups gets two votes"

July 23 minutes approved Motion by Stormon 2nd by Freeman Minutes approved unanimously.

Old Business

31.3 Voting update on Initiating Gov.

Recently adopted rule that members or their alternate must be in attendance 3 out of 4 of the immediately preceding meetings to retain their voting. The meeting minutes for that for July 2 reflect that the Initiating Governments should approve this decision. The PU has decided that this action item shall not be implemented because it is unnecessary.

Motion #1 by Gage 2nd by Knott that the Initiating Governments shall not be encumbered by the July 2 voting rule. Passed with Stormon abstaining.

32.1 PU Update on Grant Revision for 2nd half of Phase III

Golder's proposed Statement of Work for the County Phase III was discussed with changes suggested by Stormon, Perrow, Love, Ewing, and Gage. Changes noted by Ewing who will incorporate changes working with Dagnon and forward to Bob Anderson. In

July 30, 2003 Action Summary

general, changes reflect a desire by the PU to emphasize their oversight over the content of changes to the draft Plan and to ensure that the changes suggested by the PU are incorporated in the Plan.

A discussion of the number of drafts for review indicated that the PU wishes a "PU review draft" without tables and figures circulated only to PU members to ascertain whether this round of comments are incorporated into the draft that will be circulated to the public. An Executive Summary needs to be included in the SOW as well as a budget for copies (Ewing develops copy budget). The PU also recognizes that the "Final" will in all probability be revised and this task needs to be incorporated in the SOW. Only those figures and tables that are revised will be incorporated into drafts.

Draft Sequence:

1. Present corrections incorporated into Aug 27th Draft
2. PU review/corrected draft to Public Sept 10
3. Public Comments incorporated into Final Draft Oct 29.

Motion #2 moved by Umburger and 2nd by Stormon that the SOW is accepted as amended by above comments. Approved unanimously

33.1 Review Draft Letter to Legislature requesting Extension

Motion #2 by Umburger, 2nd Love that the letter be addressed to Governor Locke and cc'd to legislators Parlette. Armstrong, Condotta, Morton, Sump, McMorris, and legislative aide Stuhmiller. It shall document the reasons that the PU has been delayed in the past by circumstances beyond it's control and shall not request an extension at this time but shall warn the governor that because of these delays and the fact that not all the information paid for by the PU (particularly the USGS groundwater report) will not be available by the deadline, it may become necessary for the PU to request an extension. The draft letter by Ewing is approved as amended with Perrow's input.

Approved with Dagnon voting no and Stormon abstaining.

Task Group Reports

Section 5.1 2 c.f.s Reservation

Freeman reported on his changes to the 2.c.f.s. writeup. The PU gives personal thanks to Bob Freeman for his work

Motion #3 by Gage 2nd Umburger by to accept Freeman's revisions as amended by the PU including future revisions of language that will not change the overall intent. These changes will be forwarded to Golder for inclusion to the Draft Plan. Approved with Donnet voting no and Dagnon abstaining.

New Business

Report from Perrow that his Section 6.2 Task Group will meet Thursday and will report to the Planning Unit on their findings at the next meeting on July 30, 2003

Gage reported that he had completed his task of rewriting Section 1. He presented his report and summarized his changes.

Summary report by Donnet on progress of Section 4 Task Group. General feeling of the task group was that much of Section 4 could either be deleted or moved to Section 3. Donnet agreed to continue his work and report next meeting for final approval.

Calendar

August 4' 1800 at Bureau of Reclamation will be a Section 8&9 review.

Next meeting July 30, 2003.

July 29th at 0800 at Okanogan County Health Department to discuss details of 2 c.f.s. portion of Plan. Primary attendees are Freeman and Stormon but rest of Planning Unit is welcome

Hot Topics

Storage Report:

Phase II corrections

Habitat and Closed Basins

MLL J MEETING AGENDA P-III # 32
July 30, 2003 Action Summary

6

Process for PU alternate memberships

Elbow Coulee

IFIM Limiting Factors

Executive Summary

Ecology Response to Plan Approval Process.

Motion by Williams 2nd by Knott to adjourn. .Approved unanimously.

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #34

August 6, 2003

Action Summary

AUGUST 4 PLAN REWRITE MEETING:

6:00 pm at Greg Knott's BOR Office.

Focus will be on Chapters 8&9

Rewrites Due:

Donnet will lead rewrite of 4.1 to be presented at a Chapter 4.1 Task Group meeting

Perrow will lead a 6.2 Canal Management/Canal Recharge Task Group

Plan to set aside Thursday July 31 for further Draft Reviews

DROP DEAD DEADLINE FOR COMMENTS ON DRAFT PLAN ARE DUE AUGUST 6, 2003. They must be in writing and submitted to Dick Ewing at fawn@mymethow.com

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|--|--------|----------|
| 34.0 | 6:00 | <p><u>Meeting Opening:</u> Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification:</p> <p>Storage Report: Phase II corrections Habitat and Closed Basins Process for PU alternate memberships Elbow Coulee</p> | <p>PU Chair</p> <p>Schedule after draft correction section</p> | | 8/6/03 |

M...U MEETING AGENDA P-III # 32
 July 30, 2003 Action Summary

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | IFIM Limiting Factors | | |
| | Executive Summary Ecology Response to Plan Approval Process. | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics-Draft review meetings | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-------------------|---|--------|----------|
| 30.2 | 6:15 | Draft Plan Review | PU to evaluate remaining sections and determine process from here | | 8/6/03 |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Methow Basin Planning Unit Meeting Notes for August 6, 2003

Meeting called to order by Ron Perrow at 6:12

In attendance, Bob Freeman, Connie Iten, Marty Williams, John Umberger, John Stormon, Dick Ewing, Ron Perrow, Mike Gage, Greg Knott, Vern Donnet, Mark Love, Gary Erickson, Karla Christianson, Julie Dagnon, Craig Vejraska

Guest: Mike Fort

Chairman Perrow read a letter from Michael Pritchard resigning his position as Recreation representative and pointing out that as he has no alternate that the PU could choose a new representative as they saw fit.

July 30 minutes approved Motion by Freeman, 2nd by Umberger Minutes approved unanimously.

Old Business

(No Agenda Number) Approval of Phase III Golder Workplan for County

Motion#1 by Vejraska 2nd by Freeman that the PU adopt the Phase III workplan as amended. Approved unanimously.

Task Group Reports

Ewing reported Sections 3,4,6,7,8,9 have not had Task Group review. He urged that the PU focus less on wordsmithing and more on philosophy to speed Plan development. Bob Anderson reported that after about a 10 day review process of present comments, he can move on to preparing a new draft by August 27. He is available during the week of August 18 to meet with the PU to resolve differences among the comments.

Decision to meet Monday, August 18, 1400 until 2100 at the Twisp Forest Service Conference Room and 1000 until 2100 Tuesday, August 19. Ewing will prepare an agenda or schedule so Planning Unit members can attend the sections of the review sessions that interest them the most.

Section 2 c.f.s Reservation

Freeman suggested that because he has been the focal point of this process and has reviewed all the comments, that he continue to incorporate comments rather than Bob Anderson.

Decision to allow Freeman to proceed with the proviso that he collect all comments into one place and distribute electronically.

Section 6.1 2 c.f.s.Reservation Management Program

Bob Freeman presented the revisions made by the Section 6 Task Group last Monday for Section 6.1 for review and comment. No changes suggested in basic premise that if 1200 gpm/min/day is accepted as the starting point for use, there is enough water under the present 2 c.f.s./reach reservation to accommodate full foreseeable buildout. There was agreement that as reporting of actual use proceeded, the 1200 gpm/min/day will be refined to reflect actual use. Extensive discussion of ways and means with no resolution.

New Business

No new business.

Calendar

Monday, August 18, 1400 until 2100 at the Twisp Forest Service Conference Room and 1000 until 2100 Tuesday, August 19. will be meetings to review comments with Golder and Associates.

Next meeting August 13, 2003.

Hot Topics

Storage Committee Report: Knott passed out draft copies of the USGS groundwater study. He emphasized that this document had not been through the USGS peer review process and could not be included in the body of the document but could be included as an Appendix. Knott reported that Reclamation had completed its Riverware work except for final tweaking. They had not been given an opportunity to review what Golder had written in the draft Plan and the Golder employee responsible had left Golder. Reclamation will fund further work to complete the writeup if Golder cannot continue but it will take until September 15 to finish. Julie will follow up with Golder to check on their availability to finish Storage work.

Phase II corrections: Present contract language indicates that Phase 2 corrections will be made simultaneously with Phase III. These will appear as part of the final Plan package before the Plan is adopted.

Habitat and Closed Basin Sections; Group decided to continue discussion of closed basins Ewing tasked to brief Bob Anderson on issues of closed basins and Bob will prepare a draft Closed Basin section by next week. Connie Iten has prepared a draft habitat section so this issue will be addressed.

Alternate Members:

Motion #2 by Knott 2nd by Gage that Primary representatives may appoint their own alternates not subject to public outreach and approval by the Initiating Governments. The PU recommends that a Primary also suggest their own replacement in the event of their resignation. Approved unanimously

IFIM and Limited Factors: Gage suggested that the reviews of the IFIM report and Limiting Factors analysis by our TAG (Ken Williams) be included as appendices in the Plan and that the reason they are not included be mentioned in the document. Bob Anderson will confirm that Williams review of these documents is included in the Phase II document.

Executive Summary: Suggestion by Perrow that the Executive Summary should be written by a committee of PU members rather than Golder. Agreement that the PU will write a "Citizens Preface" and the Executive Summary will be written by Golder after the Plan is more developed.

Ecology Response to Plan Approval Process: Stormon has forwarded motion on Plan approval to AG's Office for an opinion as requested by the PU and has not yet received an answer.

Reclamation Representation: Reclamation will be represented by Jeff McLaughlin at the next meeting. He will not take notes.

Shorelines: Umberger reported that the County Shorelines Ordinance is up for approval next week and encouraged members to comment.

Ewing will take the next two days off

Letter to Governor requesting extension: Perrow reported on editorial changes to letter drafted by Ewing. Decision to send letter as written.

Rule amendment done concurrently with Plan Adoption by County

Stormon reported that it will be best to have Ecology work simultaneously with the County to satisfy SEPA for both Plan adoption and Rule Amendment. He suggests that after PU accepts the Plan then Commissioners adopt the Plan in concept then if Stormon starts draft rule process at that point there will be at least a 6 month delay in approval of both rules and Plan.

Motion by Williams 2nd by Knott to adjourn. .Approved unanimously.

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #35
August 13, 2003

MEETING SCHEDULE WITH GOLDER ASSOCIATES:
 August 18: From 2:00 pm to 5:00 pm Okanogan County Electric Coop. 93 W. Chewuch Road
 From 6:00 to 9:00 USFS Meeting Room Twisp

Meeting Schedule with Golder Continued:
 August 19: From 10:00 am to 5:00 pm Okanogan County Electric Coop
 From 6:00 to 9:00 pm USFS Meeting Room in Twisp

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|----------------|--------|----------|
| 35.0 | 6:00 | <u>Meeting Opening:</u> Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification: Check out Build out figure for 2 cfs | PU Chair | | 8/13/03 |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | | | | | |
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics- | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-------------------|--|---------------|----------|
| 30.2 | 6:15 | Draft Plan Review | PU to evaluate remaining sections: Review Section 1 Review Section 2 Review Section 3 Accept, reject or revise Section 4 Go over Bob Freeman's input on 6.1 Review Section 6.2, Ron Perrow's input | PU to Discuss | 8/13/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|---|--------------|----------|
| 35.1 | 8:30 | Establish How to use time on August 18 and 19 | PU to determine schedule and activities | PU To decide | 8/13/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #35

August 13, 2003

Action Summary

MEETING SCHEDULE WITH GOLDR
ASSOCIATES:
 August 18: From 2:00 pm to 5:00 pm Okanogan County
 Electric Coop. 93 W. Chewuch Road
 From 6:00 to 9:00 USFS Meeting Room Twisp

Meeting Schedule with Golder Continued:
 August 19: From 10:00 am to 5:00 pm Okanogan County Electric Coop
 From 6:00 to 9:00 pm USFS Meeting Room in Twisp

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|----------------|--------|----------|
| 35.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification: Check out Build out figure for 2 cfs | PU Chair | | 8/13/03 |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics- | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

M. J MEETING AGENDA P-III # 35
 August 13, 2003 Action Summary

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-------------------|--|---------------|----------|
| 30.2 | 6:15 | Draft Plan Review | PU to evaluate remaining sections: Review Section 1 Review Section 2 Review Section 3 Accept, reject or revise Section 4 Go over Bob Freeman's input on 6.1 Review Section 6.2, Ron Perrow's input | PU to Discuss | 8/13/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|---|--------------|----------|
| 35.1 | 8:30 | Establish How to use time on August 18 and 19 | PU to determine schedule and activities | PU To decide | 8/13/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

PLANNING UNIT MEETING MINUTES
 AUGUST 13, 2003

fortmd@methow.com Mike Fort's e-mail
flcbp@methow.com Fred Cooley's e-mail

Attendance: Mike Fort, Fred Cooley, Mark Love, Mike Gage, John Umberger, Gary Erickson, Pat Christianson, Jeff McLoughlin, Craig Verjaska, Julie Dagnon, Rick Karro, Marty Williams, Dick Ewing

Mike Fort has been accepted by initiating governments as a member of planning unit

Section 1 Introduction and Purpose rewrite by Mark

This watershed plan is the result of nearly five years of work by the Methow Basin Planning Unit, which was formed as a result of RACW 90.82 which empowered local citizens to have maximum possible input regarding water resource management within the basin. Under the law the plan must conform to the parameters listed in RCW 90.82.120 that is the plan can not be in conflict with state or federal law tribal rights, existing water rights, existing management programs and others.

The primary purpose of the plan is to assess current water supply and use and to develop strategies to increase water supplies in the management area to satisfy minimum instream flows for fish and to provide for future out of stream uses. Within the confines of RCW 90.120, and because the amount of water available to the watershed via precipitation is beyond human control, this plan focuses on increasing available water supplies for all uses by various means of slowing the exit of water from the basin particularly during times of high run-off.

Motions to adopt: Craig Verjaska, second by Gage.
Motion passes

Motion to accept Section 2 as corrected: Motion by Craig second by Marty, Unanimously accepted.

Motion to accept Section 3 as written by Dick Ewing ; motion made by Mike Gage motion seconded Gary Erickson, Motion passed.

Section 4 has been deleted in previous meeting

Motion to move Section 5 to policy recommendations, Motion defeated. Motion was made by Craig, second Gary.

Mike Gage moved to accept Bob Freeman's 6.1 2 cfs Management program. Pending Gary's corrections on who monitors. Motion passed seconded by John Umberger.
Motions passed.

County will inform PU on opportunities when the County reviews the plan again.

MLJ MEETING AGENDA P-III # 35

August 13, 2003 Action Summary

4

Number of parcels at build-out needs to be verified. 1) by reach, 2) # of parcels after 1976 that applies towards 2 cfs, 3) Total parcels at build-out per reach including all parcels significant lands have relinquished their development rights.

Motion by Gary to go to Highland Associates to ask them how much it would cost to verify Golder's numbers on full build out. Mike Seconded it, motion passed.

Gary motioned to adjourn Marty seconded
9:00 pm

Dick to E-mail Fred Cooley and Mike Fort electronic copy of plan

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #36
August 20, 2003

MEETING SCHEDULE WITH GOLDER ASSOCIATES:
 August 18: From 2:00 pm to 5:00 pm Okanogan County Electric Coop. 93 W. Chewuch Road
 From 6:00 to 9:00 USFS Meeting Room Twisp

Meeting Schedule with Golder Continued:
 August 19: From 10:00 am to 5:00 pm Okanogan County Electric Coop
 From 6:00 to 9:00 pm USFS Meeting Room in Twisp

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|----------------|--------|----------|
| 36.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification: | PU Chair | | 8/13/03 |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics- | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-----------------------------|--|--------|----------|
| | | Assess Results of Workshops | PU to Evaluate progress on Draft Rewrite | | 8/13/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #36

August 20, 2003

Action Summary and Meeting Minutes

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>MEETING SCHEDULE WITH GOLDER ASSOCIATES: August 18: From 2:00 pm to 5:00 pm Okanogan County Electric Coop. 93 W. Chewuch Road From 6:00 to 9:00 USFS Meeting Room Twisp</p> | |
|---|--|

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>Meeting Schedule with Golder Continued: August 19: From 10:00 am to 5:00 pm Okanogan County Electric Coop From 6:00 to 9:00 pm USFS Meeting Room in Twisp</p> | |
|---|--|

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|----------------|--------|----------|
| 36.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification: | PU Chair | | 8/13/03 |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics- | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

MLL U MEETING AGENDA P-III # 36

August 20, 2003 Action Summary and Meeting Minutes

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-----------------------------|--|---|----------|
| 30.2 | 6:15 | Assess Results of Workshops | PU to Evaluate progress on Draft Rewrite and finalize input to Golder. | Completed Comments on Draft for Submission to Golder for next Draft | 8/20/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT MEETING MINUTES

August 20, 2003

Attendance; Ron Perrow, Rick Karro, Bob Freeman, John Stormon, Mark Love, Marty Williams, John Umberger, Mike Gage, Mike Fort, Dick Ewing, Julie Dagnon, Craig Vejeraska

Meeting opened by Chairman Perrow at 6:10 pm.

Minutes were reviewed corrected, Mike Fort corrected page 5 motion to accept by Mike Gage, minutes were taken by Dick Ewing and called action summary and approved
Seconded by Rick Karro, passed

Old business:

Section 5 was corrected to include section 3 as written by Bob Freeman.

Section 6.1 was reviewed by Bob Freeman for completeness. Checked OK

MBPU MEETING AGENDA P-III # 36

August 20, 2003 Action Summary and Meeting Minutes

3

Motion to accept 6.2 as drafted and edited by Love, seconded by Vejeraska passed with Stormon abstaining

Motion to accept section 9 (MWC) as edited by Vejeraska, seconded by Gage passed with John Stormon not caring.

Vejeraska moved to add to the job description for the MWC a public outreach program. And move 6.4 to the appendix. Seconded by Freeman. Passed unanimously, Stormon cared.

Confirmed delete of 7.5.3, 7.6 (all)

Motion by Stormon having not read this I move we accept 7.10 as written by Dick, seconded by Christensen passed unanimously.

Moved to accept all on section 7 not previously deleted seconded by Gage passed unanimously.

Moved to accept all of 8 and 9 as recommended to be changed last night by Gage seconded by Williams passed with Stormon abstaining.

Motion to adjourn Williams, seconded by Gage passed with Stormon caring

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #37
August 27, 2003

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
|--|--|

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|----------------|--------|----------|
| 37.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification: | PU Chair | | 8/13/03 |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | | | | | |
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics- | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|------------------|-----------------|--------|----------|
| 37.1 | 6:15 | Review new Draft | PU to Evaluate. | | 8/27/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|----------|
| 37.2 | | Writing the Executive Summary | PU to assign task and define contents | | 8/27/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #37

August 27, 2003

Action Summary and Meeting Minutes

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|----------------|--------|----------|
| 37.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification: | PU Chair | | 8/13/03 |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics- | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------|
| 37.1 | 6:15 | Review new Draft | PU to Evaluate. | Draft Not Available | TBA |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|----------|
| 37.2 | 6:15 | Writing the Executive Summary | PU to assign task and define contents | Discussion Postponed because of no document | 8/27/03 |
| 37.3 | 6:45 | Un-addressed Comments in Draft | Golder to Provide for PU evaluation | Discussion Postponed because of no document | |
| 37.4 | 7:15 | Write up for Storage Section | PU to Write up for Draft | Still waiting for info. | |
| 37.5 | 7:45 | SEPA Review for Draft 7 or 30 day | County Input required | Discussion Postponed because of no document | |
| | | | | | |

**Methow Basin Planning Unit
Meeting Notes for August 27, 2003**

Meeting called to order by Vice Chairman at 6:10

In attendance, Bob Freeman, Connie Iten, Marty Williams, John Umberger, John Stormon, Dick Ewing, Mike Gage, Greg Knott, Mark Love, Gary Erickson, Karla Christianson, Julie Dagnon, Connie Iten, Julie Dagnon, Bob Anderson (late on telephone)

Guest: Lee Bernheisel

August 20 minutes approved with amendments that minutes were taken by Ron Perrow and that and that Karla Christianson and Vern Donnet were in attendance. Motion by Dagnon 2nd by Freeman. Amended minutes approved unanimously.

Old Business

37.1 Review of new draft.

Draft not available from Golder. Delivered to Golder on time and e-mail will be available for review August 28 but will not be distributed for review before the next meeting

New Business

37.5 SEPA Review for Draft

Stormon reported that if everything in our Plan is in the programmatic SEPA document being developed by DOE then the Plan does not need to go out for public comment for adoption. It will be up to the County to decide level of public comment. If this is not the case then at least a 30 day comment period will be required and a separate EIS will also be required. It may be possible to combine the regulatory SEPA review and the optional Planning Unit Public Comment period in the month of October. At this point the County does not have funding to write an additional EIS. If concurrent processes are used, Sept 15 would be the date for opening comment.

37.3 Un-addressed comments on draft

Motion #1 by Dagnon 2nd by Umburger that in the Section 4 summary of water available for allocation (lines 1-8 of June 30 draft) be moved to the appropriate section of the document.

After discussion PU decided to address the “easy” comments and let Golder put the rest in the next draft. After discussion with Anderson who joined meeting at this point, PU response will be deferred until next draft

37.2 Writing the Executive Summary

After PU reviewed August 6 “Hot Topics” decision to separate Executive Summary and “Citizens’s Preface” Decision to have a “straw dog” available for September 6. Knott recalled that he and Perrow were to produce a draft and he had provided a copy of the Entiat “Landowner’s Preface” to Perrow but had received no comment back. Knott will follow up with Perrow.

Calendar

Next meeting September 3, 2003.

Hot Topics

Storage Committee Report: Knott reported that review of input files for Reclamation's Riverware model must be completed by August 30. Riverware runs will be completed by next week and a summary presentation to the Planning Unit of results will be ready by September 15. At that point PU will decide on recommendations for surface storage. Golder will work with Reclamation to develop Introduction and summary of alternatives as well as data in appendices

Attorney General's answer to definition of "Unit of Government:

Stormon reports that State has not decided what term "Unit of Government" means in a legal context. They believe that the courts or legislature will eventually decide. In the meantime

Opinion from Highlands Associates

Still awaiting a price from Highlands

Methow Watershed Council

Bob Anderson suggested that County could be obligated to create the MWC and channel funds to the Council which would be a public organization similar to a conservation district rather than a 501.3(c). This solves obtaining funding issues and also provides authority from the County to have the MVC implement decisions. Agreement that what is currently in the draft will remain for now.

Public Comment:

Lee Bernheisel asked questions about public SEPA review schedule. Scheduled is deferred until County can review draft to check compliance with programmatic EIS

Motion by Gage 2nd by Ewing to adjourn. .Approved unanimously.

M.L.U MEETING AGENDA P-III # 37
August 27, 2003

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #38
September 3, 2003

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
|--|--|

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|----------------|--------|----------|
| 38.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification: | PU Chair | | 8/13/03 |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics- | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------|
| 37.1 | 6:15 | Review new Draft | PU to Evaluate. | Input on New Draft | 9/3/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|----------|
| 37.2 | 6:15 | Writing the Executive Summary | PU to assign task and define contents | | 9/3/03 |
| 37.3 | 6:45 | Un-addressed Comments in Draft | Golder to Provide for PU evaluation | | 9/03/03 |
| 37.4 | 7:15 | Write up for Storage Section | PU to Write up for Draft | | |
| 37.5 | 7:45 | SEPA Review for Draft 7 or 30 day | County Input required | | 9/03/03 |
| | | | | | |

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #38

September 3, 2003

Action Summary and Meeting Minutes

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
|--|--|

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|----------------|--------|----------|
| 38.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification: | PU Chair | | 8/13/03 |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics- | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|------------------|-----------------|--|----------|
| 37.1 | 6:15 | Review new Draft | PU to Evaluate. | Input on New Draft, PU has decided to rewrite it. Small committee selected. RW due | 9/8/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|----------|
| 37.2 | 6:15 | Writing the Executive Summary | PU to assign task and define contents | No Action | 9/3/03 |
| 37.3 | 6:45 | Un-addressed Comments in Draft | Golder to Provide for PU evaluation | Provided by Golder, but no action by PU | 9/03/03 |
| 37.4 | 7:15 | Write up for Storage Section | PU to Write up for Draft | No Action | |
| 37.5 | 7:45 | SEPA Review for Draft 7 or 30 day | County Input required | No Action County is still in process of deciding | 9/03/03 |
| | | | | | |

**Methow Basin Planning Unit
Meeting Notes for September 3, 2003**

In attendance, Bob Freeman, Connie Iten (late), Marty Williams, John Umberger, John Stormon, Dick Ewing, Ron Perrow, Mike Gage, Greg Knott (late), Mark Love, Gary Erickson, Karla Christianson, Craig Vejraska, Vern Donnet

Corrections to Aug., 27 minutes by J. Storman:

"State Attorney General stated that there is no statutory definition of units of government. Verbal opinion of SAG is Units of Government would include all governments on Planning Unit including Town of Twisp, Winthrop, Pateros, State of Wash. (John's vote), and Irrig. Districts. SAG promises a written opinion in this decade." (soon)

Add Vern Donnet and Rick Karro to attendance.

Motion to approve min's by Bob F., second by John U. passed unanimously

Dick is asked to provide written (e-mail) minutes of Aug. 6th minutes to the PU prior to next meeting so they can be approved with any revisions necessary.

Old Business

37.2 Review new Draft Plan

PU Members gave brief opinions of the current draft.

Mark L. "To long, & need to rearrange order"

Mike G. "Format is terrible-needs 3 more revisions not the tone of the PU"

John U. "Too long, cut it down, change temp's from Celsius to Fahrenheit"

Fred Cooley "Porosity figures need to be checked in table 3-5" We may have more storage capacity available"

Marty Williams: "Biggest part is still missing i.e.: USGS, BOR data"

Craig Veraska-Commissioner: "Didn't capture tone and doesn't explain how we got to this point.

John Storman: "Elements in the plan conflict with sound science and state law" couldn't approve as is. Comments he has ready are "rough" first try-don't yell."

Bob F.: Need all the raw material (tables etc) Needs to be reorganized.

Ron Perrow: "Expectations not met- isn't readable needs restructured" May be to long.

Gary E: "Still need a second accounting of parcel buildout"

Dick E.: "We got back what we approved for the most part"

Karla C. "Includes most of what we put in needs organization and condensing"

Motion #1 by Mike Gage to reorganize the current draft, to shorten it and come closer to the "intent" the PU intended"

Seconded by Craig V.-Commissioner.

In favor -Unanimous

Motion #2 by Stormon 2nd by Gage that a small committee headed by Ron Perrow will undertake to rearrange the entire draft Plan document by Sept 8 (e-mail to Ewing) and bring hard copies to the next meeting

Discussion of timing of next draft. Report by Knott and Anderson that Reclamation will work with Anderson to review RiverWare runs and produce a results summary by Sept 17. Ewing pointed out that we must get the final draft out by September 22, to meet a 30 day SEPA comment period. Discussion of who was designated the "SEPA Official" for Okanogan County and how to bring him in to the process of deciding whether the contents of the Plan are covered by the State programmatic SEPA.

Hot Topics

John Umburger wants Greg Knott to finally provide the GPS information that he has been promised for over a month. Knott passed the blame to Williams.

Bob A. to redo Fig. 5-1a, 5.1b This will be to modify the total parcel number. Present number of parcel currently in plan reflect today's parcel number. No subdivision of large parcels is considered. Plan will reflect that there are a number of ways that buildout can occur. The Plan must account for the fact that we will track new parcels created out of large holdings and adjust Plan accordingly. In general, the Golder estimates are conservative and probably overestimate the total parcel numbers. In all cases there is still water available.

Meet 2 times a week – Decision deferred to next week

Meeting adjourned 8:25

G. Knott

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #39
September 10, 2003

Draft Rewriting Session
 2:00pm Sept. 8, 2003 at USFS Twisp Center
 Conference Room

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|----------------|--------|----------|
| 39.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification: | PU Chair | | 8/13/03 |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics- | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|------------------|--|--------|----------|
| 37.1 | 6:15 | Review new Draft | PU to Evaluate Rewrite Committee Draft | | 9/10/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|----------|
| 37.2 | 6:15 | Writing the Executive Summary | PU to assign task and define contents | | |
| 37.3 | 6:45 | Un-addressed Comments in Draft | Golder to Provide for PU evaluation | | |
| 37.4 | 7:15 | Write up for Storage Section | PU to Write up for Draft | | |
| 37.5 | 7:45 | SEPA Review for Draft 7 or 30 day | County Input required | | |
| | | | | | |

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #39

September 10, 2003

Action Summary and Meeting Minutes

Draft Rewriting Session
 2:00pm Sept. 8, 2003 at USFS Twisp Center
 Conference Room

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|----------------|--------|----------|
| 39.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification: | PU Chair | | 8/13/03 |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---|---|---|----------|
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics- Highland Associates Verification of present and future buildout | PU to decide on budget of 2,400 not to exceed 5,000 | PU accepted Highlands offer and appointed Gary Erickson as liaison for PU | 9/10/03 |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|------------------|--|---|----------|
| 37.1 | 6:15 | Review new Draft | PU to Evaluate Rewrite Committee Draft Outline | PU adopted new outline and assigned sections of outline to members for writing the new plan version | 9/10/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|----------|
| 37.2 | 6:15 | Writing the Executive Summary | PU to assign task and define contents | NA | |
| 37.3 | 6:45 | Un-addressed Comments in Draft | Golder to Provide for PU evaluation | NA | |
| 37.4 | 7:15 | Write up for Storage Section | PU to Write up for Draft | Greg Knott will do something with info available | |
| 37.5 | 7:45 | SEPA Review for Draft 7 or 30 day | County Input required | No update | |
| | | | | | |

MEETING MINUTES September 10, 2003

Meeting called to order by chairman Ron Perrow at 6:00.

Motion no.1 to change minutes for Aug. 6th by striking reference that said Golder was to write executive summary by M . Gage, second by M. Fort. Motion failed to gain 75 percent.

September 10, 2003

Motion no.2 by M. Gage regarding "Executive Summary" to replace the word "agreement" with "there was discussion held". Second by J. Stormon. Motion passed
Minutes also amended to reflect Rick Karro.

Motion No 3 by Marty, second R. Karro to accept the Aug. 6th minutes as amended.

Motion no.4 by Bob Freeman, second by G. Erickson to approve Sept. 3rd . minutes.
Passed.

Motion no. 5 by G. Erickson, second by J. Storman to authorize Highland & Assoc's to assess our "buildout numbers" as defined by the P.U. for 2,400 dollars. Not to exceed 5,000 dollars depending on what the PU requires.
Motion passed.

Motion no. 6 by J. Storman, second by B. Freeman, that G. Erickson be appointed co-ordinator to work with Highland and Assoc's to ensure job is done in a timely (30 days if possible.) manner, and covers the components discussed during the motion.
Motion passed.

Discussion held on new plan outline.

Motion#7 by Gary Erickson that we adopt the outline form with the six major categories as proposed by Ron Perrow, with the stipulation that the information already approved by the PU members, and included in the current draft, be utilized in the new format.
Second by M. Gage

Meeting adjourned

**ASSIGNED TASKS FOR COMPLETING OUTLINE FOR THE METHOW
BASIN PLANNING UNIT WATERSHED PLAN**

YOUR ASSIGNED TASK IS DUE WEDNESDAY SEPTEMBER 17, 2003
e-mail you assignment to Dick Ewing at fawn@mymethow.com

| | |
|--|--|
| Table of Contents | To be Written Last |
| Introduction and Purpose Among other things, this section should include area addressed | Mark's Draft |
| Definition of Terms Water Present: Water Available: Consumptive Use: Actual Use: Base flows Geological base flows (look at 1976 Plan definition section) | Developed by process |
| History: Brief history of water use | Ron Perrow |
| Existing Conditions: How it is today | |
| Groundwater Recharge | Mike Gage, Ron Perrow |
| Surface water | Mike G. and Ron Perrow |
| Ground water | Mike Gage and Ron Perrow |
| Municipal Use | Rick Karro |
| Domestic Use | Gary Erickson |
| Agricultural Use and Canals | John U. Mike G. and Karla |
| Ground cover (include ET) includes forest cover | Greg Knott |
| Land ownership through the Basin | Highland associates/Gary Erickson |
| Storage – Need for written section, lack potential sites info, lack of current information. Sept 15 first cut on river ware runs | Greg Knott to coordinate through implementation |
| Precipitation/Water Budget | Gary Erickson e-mail P-II WB to Gary Utilize USGS scenarios from water model |
| Current water management (the Methow Rule including the 2 cfs Rule; include the WAC in the Appendix) | Dick Ewing |
| Habitat Conditions (Mike's Bottleneck Language) | Connie Iten and Mike Gage |
| Water Quality Conditions | John Stormon |
| Basin Closures | Dick Ewing |
| RCW 90.82 Requirements Estimate of surface and ground water present | Vern Donnett |

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>Estimate of surface and ground water available</p> <p>Estimate of claims, permits, etc. See Phase II</p> <p>Estimate of water actually being used (surface and ground) An estimate of water needed in the future Identification of areas of aquifer recharge An estimate of the surface and ground water available for further appropriate</p> <p>Strategies for increasing water supplies</p> | <p>Vern Donnett</p> <p>Dick Ewing</p> <p>Where applicable each person's write up should indicate which of the RCW's requirements their section fulfills.</p> |
| <p>Delaying the Hydrograph/Storage Increasing Groundwater Recharge Forest Management Surface Storage Preserving Existing Canals</p> | |
| <p>2 cfs Rule Change</p> | <p>Bob Freeman through implementation</p> |
| <p>Tables</p> | <p>Mike Fort</p> |
| <p>Legal and Implementation Issues</p> | <p>Golder, Dick Ewing Applicable Rules and Regulations that affect the watershed planning</p> |
| <p>Strategies Recommendation Implementation:</p> | <p>Each person is to develop strategies recommendations and implementations for their assigned topic</p> |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #41
September 24, 2003
Action Summary and Meeting Minutes

Draft Rewriting Session:
 This meeting is important for reviewing current rewrite and giving direction to PU members who will be going to Redmond to work with Golder, Thursday and Friday.

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|----------------|--------|----------|
| 41.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification: | PU Chair | | 8/13/03 |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

MBPU MEETING AGENDA P-III # 39

September 10, 2003

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|-------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| 37.1 | 6:15 | Review new Draft | PU to Evaluate Rewritten Document | Sent party of 4 to Redmond | 9/25-26/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| 37.2 | 6:15 | Writing the Executive Summary | PU to assign task and define contents | NA | |
| 37.3 | 6:45 | Un-addressed Comments in Draft | Golder to Provide for PU evaluation | PU will respond to comments on new draft | |
| 37.4 | 7:15 | Write up for Storage Section | PU to Write up for Draft | Golder to insert new info | 10/03/03 |
| 37.5 | 7:45 | SEPA Review for Draft 7 or 30 day | County Input required | Discuss schedule | 10/01/03 |
| | | | | | |

MEETING MINUTES September 24, 2003

Attendance: Ron Perrow, Mike Gage, John Umberger, Mike Fort, Mark Love, Rick Karro, John Stormon, Marty Williams, Bob Freeman, Dick Ewing, Craig Vejraska, Gary Erickson.

Guest: Kurt Dannison

Kurt explained difference between Golder's approach and how he will approach the current build out and future build out numbers. G used land use codes population projections from census. K will use county records and existing zoning.

Hot Topics:

John's dictionary definitions

Address to Golder Associates

MLJ MEETING AGENDA P-III # 39
September 10, 2003

18300 NE Union Hill Road
Suite 200
Redmond, WA 98052
425-882-0777

Discussion of Plan Rewrite Mission

Ron's vision for the plan: Most readable for the public

Gary: Over a period of time the PU has voted upon a number of things that we felt important for the plan. If these things aren't in the plan don't count on my vote.

Bob F. Need the numbers and sources of water that need to be in the plan. 2 cfs can be implemented as is..... shouldn't change.

Mark: References to or use of other documents in existence can be in the appendix for support, the full text doesn't have to be in the body of the plan.

Rick K. Doesn't think this approach is good, should utilize consultant that is what they are paid to do. We may be doomed to failure. Starting from scratch is a problem and too big a task.

John S. Need a summary of obligations, consistency with state statutes and sound science.

Motion by Gary E.: Golder to write executive summary that PU critiques and accepts and that we (PU) write a citizens summary to express important opinions. Seconded by Craig V. Motion Passed.
What is total human use on water budget. Should show impacts on lowest flow periods. We should also look at human impact of forest management and cattle ranching. Return flows (consumptive verses actual use)

Mike Fort reviewed tables, will make comments to Golder. Key is to check out Golder's assumptions.

Adjourn 7:40

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #42
October 1, 2003

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
|--|--|

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|----------------|--------|----------|
| 41.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification: | PU Chair | | 8/13/03 |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | | | | | |
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

M. PU MEETING AGENDA P-III # 39
 September 10, 2003

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| 37.1 | 6:15 | Review new Draft | PU to Evaluate Rewritten Document | PU to get preliminary Input | 10/01/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|----------|
| 37.2 | 6:15 | Writing the Executive Summary | PU to assign task and define contents | NA | |
| 37.3 | 6:45 | Un-addressed Comments in Draft | Golder to Provide for PU evaluation | PU will respond to comments on new draft | |
| 37.4 | 7:15 | Write up for Storage Section | PU to Write up for Draft | Golder to insert new info | 10/03/03 |
| 37.5 | 7:45 | SEPA Review for Draft 7 or 30 day | County Input required | Discuss schedule | 10/01/03 |
| | | | | | |

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #42

October 1, 2003

Action Summary and Meeting Minutes

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
|--|--|

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|----------------|--------|----------|
| 42.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification: | PU Chair | | 8/13/03 |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

M. PU MEETING AGENDA P-III # 39

October 1, 2003 Action Summary and Meeting Minutes

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| 37.1 | 6:15 | Review new Draft | PU to Evaluate Rewritten Document | PU to get preliminary Input | 10/01/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|----------|
| 37.2 | 6:15 | Writing the Executive Summary | PU to assign task and define contents | NA | |
| 37.3 | 6:45 | Un-addressed Comments in Draft | Golder to Provide for PU evaluation | PU will respond to comments on new draft | |
| 37.4 | 7:15 | Write up for Storage Section | PU to Write up for Draft | Golder to insert new info | 10/03/03 |
| 37.5 | 7:45 | SEPA Review for Draft 7 or 30 day | County Input required | Discuss schedule | 10/01/03 |
| | | | | | |

MEETING MINUTES October 1, 2003

Attendance: Ron Perrow, Mike Gage, John Umberger, Mike Fort, Rick Karro, John Stormon, Marty Williams, Bob Freeman, Dick Ewing, Craig Vejraska, Gary Erickson, Julie Dagnon, Mark Love, Karla Christianson, Greg Knott, Connie Iten

Sandra of Highland Asso. Opened with report on assessment update. No numbers yet, but next week should be done.

Price is \$2400 up to \$5000. Highland needs the additional \$2600. Gary moved to authorize the additional \$2600, Craig Vejraska seconded it. Motion passed.

September 24th Meeting minutes: Motion to accept meeting minutes by Gary E. as written second by Craig V. Minutes approved.

Discussion of Plan Outline: The four work session members to give final review on Friday. The PU will receive the completed document on Monday October 6. Work party felt mission was accomplished.

MBPU MEETING AGENDA P-III # 39

October 1, 2003 Action Summary and Meeting Minutes

Discussion of Neil Aaland's letter of Ecology to legislators.

Dick to fill out schedule with obligations for PU.

Motion to adjourn at 7:30 Gary moved, Craig section .

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #43
October 8, 2003

SCHEDULE FOR OCTOBER AND PLAN ADOPTION

ALL PU MEETINGS AT USFS TWISP CONFERENCE ROOM

| SUNDAY | MONDAY | TUESDAY | WEDNESDAY | THURSDAY | FRIDAY | SATURDAY |
|--------|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| | | | 1 | 2 | 3 Plan Writing Committee to review final draft | 4 |
| 5 | 6 Planning Unit to receive and review draft | 7 | 8 Comments and corrections to draft due. Give electronically to Dick Ewing | 9 6:00 PM Chris Konrad's presentation on Groundwater and model | 10 | 11 |
| 12 | 13 PU to meet at 6:00PM to adopt corrected draft | 14 Press release and public meeting announcement to MVN, Chronicle & WW | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 7:00 PM Public Presentation of Plan at Methow Valley Community Center |
| 19 | 20 Week open to receive public comments | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 Public comment period closes at 5:00 PM | 25 |
| 26 | 27 PU to meet at 6:00 PM to evaluate public comments for draft | 28 | 29 PU to accept final draft | 30 | 31 | |

MBPU MEETING AGENDA P-III # 43

October 8, 2003

Chris Konrad's Presentation on Thursday October 9,
2003 at 6:00pm at Twisp Conference Room

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|----------------|--------|----------|
| 42.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification: | PU Chair | | 8/13/03 |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|------------------|--|--------|----------|
| 37.1 | 6:15 | Review new Draft | PU to Evaluate Comments to include in draft | | 10/08/03 |

M. J MEETING AGENDA P-III # 43
 October 8, 2003

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|-------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 37.2 | | | | | |
| 37.3 | | | | | |
| 37.4 | | | | | |
| 37.5 | | | | | |

METHOW BASIN PLANNING UNIT AGENDA P-III #40

September 17, 2003

Action Summary and Meeting Minutes

Draft Rewriting Session:

If Everyone can get me their electronic copy by Wednesday morning, I can paste all work into one document.

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

PLANNING UNIT ORGANIZATION/PROCESS

OLD BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|----------------|--------|----------|
| 40.0 | 6:00 | Meeting Opening: Introductions Determine order of meeting Hot topic identification: | PU Chair | | 8/13/03 |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|------|------|--|----------------------------------|--------|----------|
| 40.1 | 6:15 | Re-evaluation of 2 CFS assessment numbers | Kurt Dannison from Highland Ass. | | 9/17/03 |
| | 9:00 | Hot Topics | | | |
| | 9:15 | Motion to adjourn meeting | | | |
| | | | | | |

M. J MEETING AGENDA P-III # 40

September 10, 2003 Action Summary and Meeting Minutes

PHASE III PLANNING

OLD BUSINESS(On Going Work)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|-------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 37.1 | 6:15 | Review new Draft | PU to Evaluate Rewritten Document | | 9/17/03 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NEW BUSINESS (New Topics/Issues)

| CODE | TIME | BUSINESS ITEM | RESPONSIBILITY | RESULT | DUE DATE |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 37.2 | 6:15 | Writing the Executive Summary | PU to assign task and define contents | | |
| 37.3 | 6:45 | Un-addressed Comments in Draft | Golder to Provide for PU evaluation | | |
| 37.4 | 7:15 | Write up for Storage Section | PU to Write up for Draft | | |
| 37.5 | 7:45 | SEPA Review for Draft 7 or 30 day | County Input required | | |
| | | | | | |

Methow Basin Planning Unit meeting
September 17, 2003
USFS Twisp Conference Room

Those present: Ron Perrow, Gary Erickson, Mark Love, Mike Gage, John Umberger, Marty Williams, Carla Christensen, John Stormon, Bob Freeman, Julie Dagnon, Craig Vejraska, Rick Karro, Vern Donnet (7:30 PM)

Bob Anderson of Golder Associates was present on the telephone.

Guests present: Sandra Streyby and Kurt Danison

Gary Erickson read the meeting minutes of the previous MBPU meeting to the MBPU. John Umberger moved and Bob Freeman seconded to amend the Sept 10 2003 meeting to show that Motion 7 was voted on and passed.

John Stormon asked about the attendance list. Bob Freeman read the list of persons attended recorded in the MBPU's "Motion Book."

John Stormon and John Umberger seconded to accept the September 10 meeting minutes as amended.

Kurt Danison, Highlands Associates, provided a brief description of his understanding of the work that the MBPU desired him to perform. Gary Erickson reiterated to Kurt that he was the MBPU's contact person regarding this project. He further explained that largely, with a few exceptions, Kurt's understanding was on track. Gary requested from Bob Anderson to expeditiously transfer data requests from Highlands to Highlands. Bob Anderson agreed to do so.

Chairman Perrow discussed the opportunity for a small group of few to travel to Golder's Redmond office (the end of next week) to do editorial messaging and appropriate editing.

MBPU direction over the next couple of months:

Personalities assigned sections of the outline (approved at the last MBPU meeting) will continue to develop assigned sections. Developed sections will be provided electronically and inserted into the outline. A small group of people, decided by the MBPU tonight, will travel to Golder's Redmond office on Thursday, September 25, 2003 and return on September 26, 2003. During their visit, the small delegation will work with Golder on finalizing the document – the Methow watershed plan.

Craig Vejraska moved and John Umberger seconded that the following people travel to Golder's Redmond office:

Dick Ewing

Mike Fort

Mike Gage

Ron Perrow

The purpose of the trip is to go with the draft as it has been edited and assembled at that time. The group will return from the trip with the 3rd, and hopefully, the final watershed plan for the Methow Basin.

All those present voted in favor of the motion.

Committee reports:

The MBPU worked through the outline and those assigned sections in the draft provided a status report.

During the working through of the outline and assigned tasks, the MBPU reviewed and discussed Chairman Perrow's proposed definition of terms.